

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 001

1. Many economists agree that business taxes are not actually paid by the business, but by employees, business owners and consumers. Who do you believe bears the greatest burden when a business is taxed? READ AND ROTATE LIST:

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID==>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>				<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>		
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===BUSINESS===> ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	<=CUT PROP TAX> INFRMED	UNINFRM	<=PLAN CUT PRP> FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	<===WILL PERSONALLY===> TEMPORY	PAY MRE	FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The business itself	106 13%	106 100%	-	-	-	60 16%	46 11%	51 16%	38 11%	12 19%	55 15%	29 10%	33 14%	63 16%	63 13%	32 14%	14 12%	77 14%
The business owner	111 14%	-	111 100%	-	-	48 13%	63 15%	45 15%	53 15%	11 17%	46 13%	48 16%	40 16%	59 15%	72 15%	30 13%	17 14%	77 14%
The employees	85 11%	-	-	85 100%	-	30 8%	54 13%	28 9%	41 12%	5 8%	32 9%	38 13%	33 14%	44 11%	63 13%	16 7%	23 19%	57 11%
The consumer or customer	416 52%	-	-	-	416 100%	209 57%	203 48%	161 52%	180 53%	33 52%	195 55%	151 50%	119 49%	206 51%	245 51%	128 56%	59 50%	278 51%
DK/Refused DNR	82 10%	-	-	-	-	18 5%	61 14%	25 8%	30 9%	3 5%	28 8%	36 12%	19 8%	29 7%	36 8%	23 10%	6 5%	53 10%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 002

1. Many economists agree that business taxes are not actually paid by the business, but by employees, business owners and consumers. Who do you believe bears the greatest burden when a business is taxed? READ AND ROTATE LIST:

	TOTAL	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<=LEGISLATION=			<=====PARTY=====			<=====IDEOLOGY=====				<=====INCOME=====							
	COMPLTE	YES	NO	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCR	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+	
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%					
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The business itself	106 13%	60 15%	33 13%	69 15%	29 13%	39 16%	54 12%	49 18%	26 9%	20 11%	61 16%	29 18%	32 14%	28 11%	14 15%	12 13%	16 10%	13 10%	11 14%	14 17%					
The business owner	111 14%	64 16%	35 13%	63 13%	34 15%	31 13%	65 14%	40 15%	41 15%	20 11%	60 15%	22 13%	38 17%	36 14%	8 8%	11 12%	23 15%	14 11%	12 15%	29 15%					
The employees	85 11%	39 10%	32 12%	47 10%	25 11%	26 11%	50 11%	21 8%	20 7%	30 16%	33 8%	17 10%	16 7%	27 10%	17 18%	19 20%	17 11%	14 11%	9 11%	13 7%					
The consumer or customer	416 52%	213 53%	141 54%	254 54%	120 52%	116 49%	248 54%	140 52%	157 57%	100 54%	203 52%	80 49%	123 54%	145 55%	49 51%	44 47%	87 55%	71 56%	45 56%	107 54%					
DK/Refused DNR	82 10%	29 7%	20 8%	34 7%	23 10%	27 11%	39 9%	19 7%	31 11%	16 9%	32 8%	15 9%	17 8%	29 11%	8 8%	8 9%	15 9%	14 11%	4 5%	14 7%					

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 003

1. Many economists agree that business taxes are not actually paid by the business, but by employees, business owners and consumers. Who do you believe bears the greatest burden when a business is taxed? READ AND ROTATE LIST:

	TOTAL	<OWN/RENT	HOME>	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
	COMPLTE	OWN	RENT	HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	800	660	96	117	77	8	557	15	44	147	229	156	190	386	414
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The business itself	106	85	17	12	8	2	80	1	4	14	44	18	20	60	46
	13%	13%	18%	10%	10%	25%	14%	7%	9%	10%	19%	12%	11%	16%	11%
The business owner	111	98	7	14	10	1	81	1	8	28	21	24	22	46	65
	14%	15%	7%	12%	13%	13%	15%	7%	18%	19%	9%	15%	12%	12%	16%
The employees	85	60	17	18	14	2	48	1	11	25	25	9	10	44	41
	11%	9%	18%	15%	18%	25%	9%	7%	25%	17%	11%	6%	5%	11%	10%
The consumer or customer	416	358	44	68	39	3	289	9	18	67	122	90	114	202	214
	52%	54%	46%	58%	51%	38%	52%	60%	41%	46%	53%	58%	60%	52%	52%
DK/Refused DNR	82	59	11	5	6	-	59	3	3	13	17	15	24	34	48
	10%	9%	11%	4%	8%		11%	20%	7%	9%	7%	10%	13%	9%	12%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 004

1. Many economists agree that business taxes are not actually paid by the business, but by employees, business owners and consumers. Who do you believe bears the greatest burden when a business is taxed? READ AND ROTATE LIST:

	TOTAL COMPLTE	=====MEDIA MARKET=====									=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====						
		DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The business itself	106 13%	10 7%	24 16%	10 14%	4 8%	11 16%	12 13%	6 10%	12 19%	17 18%	16 10%	32 20%	10 7%	24 13%	11 16%	12 19%	1 4%
The business owner	111 14%	17 12%	17 11%	4 5%	9 17%	8 12%	15 16%	12 20%	12 19%	17 18%	26 16%	23 15%	17 12%	21 12%	8 12%	12 19%	4 14%
The employees	85 11%	22 15%	21 14%	9 12%	5 10%	6 9%	9 10%	3 5%	4 6%	6 6%	15 9%	17 11%	22 15%	20 11%	6 9%	4 6%	1 4%
The consumer or customer	416 52%	82 56%	74 49%	41 56%	25 48%	36 54%	50 53%	32 54%	30 48%	46 49%	84 53%	74 47%	82 56%	90 50%	36 54%	30 48%	20 71%
DK/Refused DNR	82 10%	16 11%	16 11%	9 12%	9 17%	6 9%	8 9%	6 10%	5 8%	7 8%	17 11%	11 7%	16 11%	25 14%	6 9%	5 8%	2 7%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 005

1. Many economists agree that business taxes are not actually paid by the business, but by employees, business owners and consumers. Who do you believe bears the greatest burden when a business is taxed? READ AND ROTATE LIST:

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENENT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENENT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The business itself	106 13%	43 20%	5 12%	1 20%	6 9%	8 6%	12 20%	7 9%	13 17%	-	29 22%	20 15%	15 13%	11 7%	9 9%	11 13%
The business owner	111 14%	33 16%	6 14%	-	11 16%	24 18%	4 7%	11 14%	6 8%	3 12%	17 13%	23 17%	13 11%	28 18%	10 10%	10 12%
The employees	85 11%	11 5%	7 17%	3 60%	6 9%	8 6%	6 10%	12 15%	10 13%	7 27%	14 10%	7 5%	7 6%	13 8%	18 18%	12 14%
The consumer or customer	416 52%	109 52%	23 55%	1 20%	37 54%	82 60%	33 54%	45 57%	38 50%	15 58%	67 50%	73 54%	72 60%	85 55%	56 56%	44 51%
DK/Refused DNR	82 10%	15 7%	1 2%	-	9 13%	15 11%	6 10%	4 5%	9 12%	1 4%	7 5%	12 9%	13 11%	18 12%	7 7%	9 10%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 006

2. Recently, you may have read some media coverage regarding the Texas legislature's plan to cut some school property taxes and replacing those with state dollars. This would result in a tax shift. More state dollars would be needed to replace the local property tax money going to schools. How much would you say you know about the legislature's tax plan? Would you say you know a great deal about the plan, you know a little bit about the plan, or would you say you know almost nothing about the plan? If you've not heard anything regarding the legislature's plan, please say so.

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID====>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>			<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>			
	TOTAL	<===BUSINESS===>				<=CUT PROP TAX>	<=PLAN CUT PRP>	<===WILL PERSONALLY===>			FIRST	ONLY	CUT	ALREADY	NEW	PUNITVE		
COMPLTE	ITSELF	OWNER	EMPYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	STEP	FAIR	SPNDING	CUT	TAX	DAMAGE	
Total	800	106	111	85	416	365	427	310	342	64	356	302	244	401	479	229	119	542
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO INFORMED	365	60	48	30	209	365	-	177	148	36	186	121	108	198	215	116	68	242
	46%	57%	43%	35%	50%	100%		57%	43%	56%	52%	40%	44%	49%	45%	51%	57%	45%
Know a great deal	63	18	3	2	38	63	-	36	24	8	29	23	18	38	36	21	14	42
	8%	17%	3%	2%	9%	17%		12%	7%	13%	8%	8%	7%	9%	8%	9%	12%	8%
Know a little bit	302	42	45	28	171	302	-	141	124	28	157	98	90	160	179	95	54	200
	38%	40%	41%	33%	41%	83%		45%	36%	44%	44%	32%	37%	40%	37%	41%	45%	37%
Know almost nothing	241	26	41	28	121	-	241	81	105	17	101	97	79	111	151	58	28	175
	30%	25%	37%	33%	29%		56%	26%	31%	27%	28%	32%	32%	28%	32%	25%	24%	32%
Heard nothing about it	186	20	22	26	82	-	186	52	84	10	67	82	55	90	108	55	22	123
	23%	19%	20%	31%	20%		44%	17%	25%	16%	19%	27%	23%	22%	23%	24%	18%	23%
COMBO UNINFORMED	427	46	63	54	203	-	427	133	189	27	168	179	134	201	259	113	50	298
	53%	43%	57%	64%	49%		100%	43%	55%	42%	47%	59%	55%	50%	54%	49%	42%	55%
DK/Refused DNR	8	-	-	1	4	-	-	-	5	1	2	2	2	2	5	-	1	2
	1%			1%	1%				1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%		1%	*

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 007
2. Recently, you may have read some media coverage regarding the Texas legislature's plan to cut some school property taxes and replacing those with state dollars. This would result in a tax shift. More state dollars would be needed to replace the local property tax money going to schools. How much would you say you know about the legislature's tax plan? Would you say you know a great deal about the plan, you know a little bit about the plan, or would you say you know almost nothing about the plan? If you've not heard anything regarding the legislature's plan, please say so.

	TOTAL	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<=LEGISLATION=			<=====PARTY=====			<=====IDEOLOGY=====				<=====INCOME=====				
	COMPLTE	YES	NO	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCR	INDPEND	NET CON	V CONSR	SME CON	MODERTE	NET LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+		
Total	800	405	261	467	231	239	456	269	275	186	389	163	226	265	96	94	158	126	81	197		
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
COMBO INFORMED	365	197	125	227	109	114	212	144	118	88	186	90	96	129	36	33	58	57	40	112		
	46%	49%	48%	49%	47%	48%	46%	54%	43%	47%	48%	55%	42%	49%	38%	35%	37%	45%	49%	57%		
Know a great deal	63	35	25	41	18	25	29	30	18	12	37	23	14	19	6	2	8	14	3	27		
	8%	9%	10%	9%	8%	10%	6%	11%	7%	6%	10%	14%	6%	7%	6%	2%	5%	11%	4%	14%		
Know a little bit	302	162	100	186	91	89	183	114	100	76	149	67	82	110	30	31	50	43	37	85		
	38%	40%	38%	40%	39%	37%	40%	42%	36%	41%	38%	41%	36%	42%	31%	33%	32%	34%	46%	43%		
Know almost nothing	241	127	66	144	63	70	133	69	89	53	116	44	72	73	29	37	60	38	18	53		
	30%	31%	25%	31%	27%	29%	29%	26%	32%	28%	30%	27%	32%	28%	30%	39%	38%	30%	22%	27%		
Heard nothing about it	186	80	68	93	58	53	107	55	66	41	86	28	58	60	29	22	37	31	23	31		
	23%	20%	26%	20%	25%	22%	23%	20%	24%	22%	22%	17%	26%	23%	30%	23%	23%	25%	28%	16%		
COMBO UNINFORMED	427	207	134	237	121	123	240	124	155	94	202	72	130	133	58	59	97	69	41	84		
	53%	51%	51%	51%	52%	51%	53%	46%	56%	51%	52%	44%	58%	50%	60%	63%	61%	55%	51%	43%		
DK/Refused DNR	8	1	2	3	1	2	4	1	2	4	1	1	-	3	2	2	3	-	-	1		
	1%	*	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	*	1%	2%	*	1%		1%	2%	2%	2%			1%		

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 008

2. Recently, you may have read some media coverage regarding the Texas legislature's plan to cut some school property taxes and replacing those with state dollars. This would result in a tax shift. More state dollars would be needed to replace the local property tax money going to schools. How much would you say you know about the legislature's tax plan? Would you say you know a great deal about the plan, you know a little bit about the plan, or would you say you know almost nothing about the plan? If you've not heard anything regarding the legislature's plan, please say so.

	TOTAL	<OWN/RENT	HOME>	<=====RACE=====					<=====AGE=====					<=====GENDER=====	
	COMPLTE	OWN	RENT	HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800	660	96	117	77	8	557	15	44	147	229	156	190	386	414
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO INFORMED	365	321	31	46	29	4	271	8	10	52	119	88	89	188	177
	46%	49%	32%	39%	38%	50%	49%	53%	23%	35%	52%	56%	47%	49%	43%
Know a great deal	63	58	4	4	4	1	53	1	-	7	22	21	12	35	28
	8%	9%	4%	3%	5%	13%	10%	7%		5%	10%	13%	6%	9%	7%
Know a little bit	302	263	27	42	25	3	218	7	10	45	97	67	77	153	149
	38%	40%	28%	36%	32%	38%	39%	47%	23%	31%	42%	43%	41%	40%	36%
Know almost nothing	241	193	33	39	28	2	160	5	16	53	60	39	61	106	135
	30%	29%	34%	33%	36%	25%	29%	33%	36%	36%	26%	25%	32%	27%	33%
Heard nothing about it	186	141	29	30	20	2	121	2	18	41	48	27	38	86	100
	23%	21%	30%	26%	26%	25%	22%	13%	41%	28%	21%	17%	20%	22%	24%
COMBO UNINFORMED	427	334	62	69	48	4	281	7	34	94	108	66	99	192	235
	53%	51%	65%	59%	62%	50%	50%	47%	77%	64%	47%	42%	52%	50%	57%
DK/Refused DNR	8	5	3	2	-	-	5	-	-	1	2	2	2	6	2
	1%	1%	3%	2%			1%			1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	*

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 009

2. Recently, you may have read some media coverage regarding the Texas legislature's plan to cut some school property taxes and replacing those with state dollars. This would result in a tax shift. More state dollars would be needed to replace the local property tax money going to schools. How much would you say you know about the legislature's tax plan? Would you say you know a great deal about the plan, you know a little bit about the plan, or would you say you know almost nothing about the plan? If you've not heard anything regarding the legislature's plan, please say so.

	TOTAL	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>									<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO INFORMED	365 46%	68 46%	66 43%	37 51%	29 56%	30 45%	36 38%	25 42%	33 52%	41 44%	59 37%	74 47%	68 46%	90 50%	30 45%	33 52%	11 39%
Know a great deal	63 8%	14 10%	12 8%	8 11%	7 13%	2 3%	2 2%	6 10%	6 10%	6 6%	7 4%	11 7%	14 10%	20 11%	2 3%	6 10%	3 11%
Know a little bit	302 38%	54 37%	54 36%	29 40%	22 42%	28 42%	34 36%	19 32%	27 43%	35 38%	52 33%	63 40%	54 37%	70 39%	28 42%	27 43%	8 29%
Know almost nothing	241 30%	39 27%	44 29%	22 30%	11 21%	25 37%	34 36%	18 31%	15 24%	33 35%	56 35%	49 31%	39 27%	47 26%	25 37%	15 24%	10 36%
Heard nothing about it	186 23%	37 25%	39 26%	14 19%	12 23%	10 15%	24 26%	16 27%	15 24%	19 20%	42 27%	33 21%	37 25%	42 23%	10 15%	15 24%	7 25%
COMBO UNINFORMED	427 53%	76 52%	83 55%	36 49%	23 44%	35 52%	58 62%	34 58%	30 48%	52 56%	98 62%	82 52%	76 52%	89 49%	35 52%	30 48%	17 61%
DK/Refused DNR	8 1%	3 2%	3 2%	-	-	2 3%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	3 2%	1 1%	2 3%	-	-

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 010

2. Recently, you may have read some media coverage regarding the Texas legislature's plan to cut some school property taxes and replacing those with state dollars. This would result in a tax shift. More state dollars would be needed to replace the local property tax money going to schools. How much would you say you know about the legislature's tax plan? Would you say you know a great deal about the plan, you know a little bit about the plan, or would you say you know almost nothing about the plan? If you've not heard anything regarding the legislature's plan, please say so.

	TOTAL	<=====REPUBLICAN=====			<=====DEMOCRAT=====			<=====INDPENENEDNT=====			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT===>		<INDPENENEDNT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO INFORMED	365 46%	116 55%	22 52%	2 40%	22 32%	67 49%	26 43%	39 49%	40 53%	7 27%	74 55%	70 52%	59 49%	59 38%	48 48%	40 47%
Know a great deal	63 8%	27 13%	3 7%	-	3 4%	10 7%	5 8%	5 6%	6 8%	1 4%	15 11%	15 11%	13 11%	5 3%	4 4%	8 9%
Know a little bit	302 38%	89 42%	19 45%	2 40%	19 28%	57 42%	21 34%	34 43%	34 45%	6 23%	59 44%	55 41%	46 38%	54 35%	44 44%	32 37%
Know almost nothing	241 30%	57 27%	8 19%	1 20%	26 38%	43 31%	16 26%	23 29%	18 24%	11 42%	34 25%	35 26%	34 28%	55 35%	25 25%	28 33%
Heard nothing about it	186 23%	37 18%	12 29%	2 40%	21 30%	27 20%	18 30%	17 22%	16 21%	7 27%	26 19%	29 21%	25 21%	41 26%	24 24%	17 20%
COMBO UNINFORMED	427 53%	94 45%	20 48%	3 60%	47 68%	70 51%	34 56%	40 51%	34 45%	18 69%	60 45%	64 47%	59 49%	96 62%	49 49%	45 52%
DK/Refused DNR	8 1%	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 2%	-	2 3%	1 4%	-	1 1%	2 2%	-	3 3%	1 1%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 011
3. From everything you have seen, read or heard, no matter how much or how little, would you say you favor or oppose the legislature's plan to cut property taxes by increasing other taxes?
PROBE: STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID==>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>		<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>				
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===BUSINESS===> ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	<=CUT PROP TAX> INFRMED	UNINFRM	<=PLAN CUT PRP> FAVOR	OPPOSE	<===WILL PERSONALLY===> PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO FAVOR	310 39%	51 48%	45 41%	28 33%	161 39%	177 48%	133 31%	310 100%	-	39 61%	175 49%	67 22%	85 35%	186 46%	181 38%	101 44%	46 39%	217 40%
Strongly favor	147 18%	25 24%	16 14%	9 11%	84 20%	92 25%	55 13%	147 47%	-	23 36%	84 24%	22 7%	40 16%	85 21%	87 18%	45 20%	21 18%	99 18%
Somewhat favor	163 20%	26 25%	29 26%	19 22%	77 19%	85 23%	78 18%	163 53%	-	16 25%	91 26%	45 15%	45 18%	101 25%	94 20%	56 24%	25 21%	118 22%
Somewhat oppose	168 21%	21 20%	32 29%	19 22%	82 20%	64 18%	102 24%	-	168 49%	11 17%	70 20%	83 27%	57 23%	87 22%	98 20%	53 23%	24 20%	127 23%
Strongly oppose	174 22%	17 16%	21 19%	22 26%	98 24%	84 23%	87 20%	-	174 51%	6 9%	57 16%	103 34%	64 26%	74 18%	110 23%	44 19%	33 28%	105 19%
COMBO OPPOSE	342 43%	38 36%	53 48%	41 48%	180 43%	148 41%	189 44%	-	342 100%	17 27%	127 36%	186 62%	121 50%	161 40%	208 43%	97 42%	57 48%	232 43%
DK/Refused DNR	148 19%	17 16%	13 12%	16 19%	75 18%	40 11%	105 25%	-	-	8 13%	54 15%	49 16%	38 16%	54 13%	90 19%	31 14%	16 13%	93 17%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 012
3. From everything you have seen, read or heard, no matter how much or how little, would you say you favor or oppose the legislature's plan to cut property taxes by increasing other taxes?
PROBE: STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT

	TOTAL	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<==LEGISLATION==>			<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>				<=====INCOME=====>								
	COMPLTE	<==PROP TAXES=>	YES	NO	<==SPENDING==>	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCR	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+
Total	800	405	261	467	231	239	456	269	275	186	389	163	226	265	96	94	158	126	81	197						
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
COMBO FAVOR	310	187	85	212	71	97	183	110	109	67	163	69	94	112	21	35	44	45	38	94						
	39%	46%	33%	45%	31%	41%	40%	41%	40%	36%	42%	42%	42%	42%	22%	37%	28%	36%	47%	48%						
Strongly favor	147	90	35	100	32	42	93	51	54	30	79	40	39	51	10	10	26	29	13	44						
	18%	22%	13%	21%	14%	18%	20%	19%	20%	16%	20%	25%	17%	19%	10%	11%	16%	23%	16%	22%						
Somewhat favor	163	97	50	112	39	55	90	59	55	37	84	29	55	61	11	25	18	16	25	50						
	20%	24%	19%	24%	17%	23%	20%	22%	20%	20%	22%	18%	24%	23%	11%	27%	11%	13%	31%	25%						
Somewhat oppose	168	82	55	97	58	47	100	55	58	39	83	33	50	47	30	22	40	32	13	35						
	21%	20%	21%	21%	25%	20%	22%	20%	21%	21%	20%	20%	22%	18%	31%	23%	25%	25%	16%	18%						
Strongly oppose	174	73	79	82	71	60	99	55	63	46	74	34	40	60	28	20	47	29	12	35						
	22%	18%	30%	18%	31%	25%	22%	20%	23%	25%	19%	21%	18%	23%	29%	21%	30%	23%	15%	18%						
COMBO OPPOSE	342	155	134	179	129	107	199	110	121	85	157	67	90	107	58	42	87	61	25	70						
	43%	38%	51%	38%	56%	45%	44%	41%	44%	46%	40%	41%	40%	40%	60%	45%	55%	48%	31%	36%						
DK/Refused DNR	148	63	42	76	31	35	74	49	45	34	69	27	42	46	17	17	27	20	18	33						
	19%	16%	16%	16%	13%	15%	16%	18%	16%	18%	18%	17%	19%	17%	18%	18%	17%	16%	22%	17%						

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 013
3. From everything you have seen, read or heard, no matter how much or how little, would you say you favor or oppose the legislature's plan to cut property taxes by increasing other taxes?
PROBE: STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
			HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE	
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO FAVOR	310 39%	273 41%	24 25%	40 34%	34 44%	2 25%	223 40%	6 40%	14 32%	50 34%	107 47%	66 42%	63 33%	160 41%	150 36%
Strongly favor	147 18%	131 20%	8 8%	14 12%	18 23%	-	108 19%	4 27%	4 9%	18 12%	51 22%	31 20%	38 20%	80 21%	67 16%
Somewhat favor	163 20%	142 22%	16 17%	26 22%	16 21%	2 25%	115 21%	2 13%	10 23%	32 22%	56 24%	35 22%	25 13%	80 21%	83 20%
Somewhat oppose	168 21%	128 19%	27 28%	28 24%	14 18%	1 13%	109 20%	4 27%	13 30%	37 25%	34 15%	32 21%	41 22%	83 22%	85 21%
Strongly oppose	174 22%	138 21%	27 28%	30 26%	24 31%	2 25%	114 20%	1 7%	10 23%	26 18%	51 22%	31 20%	49 26%	84 22%	90 22%
COMBO OPPOSE	342 43%	266 40%	54 56%	58 50%	38 49%	3 38%	223 40%	5 33%	23 52%	63 43%	85 37%	63 40%	90 47%	167 43%	175 42%
DK/Refused DNR	148 19%	121 18%	18 19%	19 16%	5 6%	3 38%	111 20%	4 27%	7 16%	34 23%	37 16%	27 17%	37 19%	59 15%	89 21%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 014
3. From everything you have seen, read or heard, no matter how much or how little, would you say you favor or oppose the legislature's plan to cut property taxes by increasing other taxes?
PROBE: STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT

	TOTAL	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>									<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO FAVOR	310 39%	60 41%	51 34%	30 41%	25 48%	28 42%	34 36%	21 36%	32 51%	29 31%	46 29%	60 38%	60 41%	72 40%	28 42%	32 51%	12 43%
Strongly favor	147 18%	22 15%	26 17%	16 22%	15 29%	12 18%	12 13%	11 19%	15 24%	18 19%	15 9%	35 22%	22 15%	41 23%	12 18%	15 24%	7 25%
Somewhat favor	163 20%	38 26%	25 16%	14 19%	10 19%	16 24%	22 23%	10 17%	17 27%	11 12%	31 20%	25 16%	38 26%	31 17%	16 24%	17 27%	5 18%
Somewhat oppose	168 21%	23 16%	30 20%	21 29%	7 13%	13 19%	25 27%	17 29%	14 22%	18 19%	38 24%	27 17%	23 16%	48 27%	13 19%	14 22%	5 18%
Strongly oppose	174 22%	33 22%	40 26%	12 16%	13 25%	13 19%	20 21%	10 17%	13 21%	20 22%	43 27%	31 20%	33 22%	36 20%	13 19%	13 21%	5 18%
COMBO OPPOSE	342 43%	56 38%	70 46%	33 45%	20 38%	26 39%	45 48%	27 46%	27 43%	38 41%	81 51%	58 37%	56 38%	84 47%	26 39%	27 43%	10 36%
DK/Refused DNR	148 19%	31 21%	31 20%	10 14%	7 13%	13 19%	15 16%	11 19%	4 6%	26 28%	31 20%	39 25%	31 21%	24 13%	13 19%	4 6%	6 21%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 015
3. From everything you have seen, read or heard, no matter how much or how little, would you say you favor or oppose the legislature's plan to cut property taxes by increasing other taxes?
PROBE: STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENEDNT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENEDNT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO FAVOR	310 39%	92 44%	15 36%	1 20%	28 41%	62 45%	14 23%	32 41%	30 39%	5 19%	59 44%	51 38%	47 39%	62 40%	41 41%	26 30%
Strongly favor	147 18%	45 21%	5 12%	-	17 25%	27 20%	7 11%	12 15%	16 21%	2 8%	28 21%	23 17%	24 20%	30 19%	21 21%	9 10%
Somewhat favor	163 20%	47 22%	10 24%	1 20%	11 16%	35 26%	7 11%	20 25%	14 18%	3 12%	31 23%	28 21%	23 19%	32 21%	20 20%	17 20%
Somewhat oppose	168 21%	44 21%	7 17%	2 40%	15 22%	25 18%	18 30%	19 24%	12 16%	8 31%	31 23%	24 18%	23 19%	35 23%	21 21%	18 21%
Strongly oppose	174 22%	40 19%	12 29%	1 20%	13 19%	29 21%	18 30%	15 19%	19 25%	9 35%	23 17%	32 24%	34 28%	29 19%	23 23%	23 27%
COMBO OPPOSE	342 43%	84 40%	19 45%	3 60%	28 41%	54 39%	36 59%	34 43%	31 41%	17 65%	54 40%	56 41%	57 48%	64 41%	44 44%	41 48%
DK/Refused DNR	148 19%	35 17%	8 19%	1 20%	13 19%	21 15%	11 18%	13 16%	15 20%	4 15%	21 16%	28 21%	16 13%	29 19%	15 15%	19 22%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 016

4. If the legislature's tax plan becomes law and the property tax cuts actually go into effect, which of the following statements most represents what you believe will be the ultimate effect on you personally and the taxes you pay:

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID==>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>				<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>		
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===BUSINESS===> ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	<=CUT PROP TAX> INFRMED	UNINFRM	<=PLAN CUT PRP> FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
My property tax cut will be permanent if this tax plan passes	64 8%	12 11%	11 10%	5 6%	33 8%	36 10%	27 6%	39 13%	17 5%	64 100%	-	-	18 7%	35 9%	42 9%	16 7%	16 13%	37 7%
My property tax cut will be temporary if this tax plan passes because appraisal rates will go up or the legislature will ultimately increase property taxes again in the future	356 45%	55 52%	46 41%	32 38%	195 47%	186 51%	168 39%	175 56%	127 37%	-	356 100%	-	117 48%	183 46%	221 46%	104 45%	58 49%	249 46%
I believe I will probably end up paying more in taxes if this tax plan passes	302 38%	29 27%	48 43%	38 45%	151 36%	121 33%	179 42%	67 22%	186 54%	-	-	302 100%	89 36%	159 40%	173 36%	97 42%	38 32%	217 40%
DK/Refused DNR	78 10%	10 9%	6 5%	10 12%	37 9%	22 6%	53 12%	29 9%	12 4%	-	-	-	20 8%	24 6%	43 9%	12 5%	7 6%	39 7%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 017
4. If the legislature's tax plan becomes law and the property tax cuts actually go into effect, which of the following statements most represents what you believe will be the ultimate effect on you personally and the taxes you pay:

	TOTAL	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<===SCHOOL FIN===>		<===LEGISLATION===>			<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>				<=====INCOME=====>						
	COMPLTE	<===PROP TAXES===>	NO	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+
Total	800	405	261	467	231	239	456	269	275	186	389	163	226	265	96	94	158	126	81	197				
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
My property tax cut will be permanent if this tax plan passes	64	36	22	41	20	22	34	24	20	13	36	16	20	19	5	9	13	10	7	15				
	8%	9%	8%	9%	9%	9%	7%	9%	7%	7%	9%	10%	9%	7%	5%	10%	8%	8%	9%	8%				
My property tax cut will be temporary if this tax plan passes because appraisal rates will go up or the legislature will ultimately increase property taxes again in the future	356	196	109	241	84	109	210	132	122	73	166	76	90	134	42	25	58	65	36	109				
	45%	48%	42%	52%	36%	46%	46%	49%	44%	39%	43%	47%	40%	51%	44%	27%	37%	52%	44%	55%				
I believe I will probably end up paying more in taxes if this tax plan passes	302	138	110	151	116	86	179	90	112	76	147	54	93	94	41	48	79	47	27	56				
	38%	34%	42%	32%	50%	36%	39%	33%	41%	41%	38%	33%	41%	35%	43%	51%	50%	37%	33%	28%				
DK/Refused DNR	78	35	20	34	11	22	33	23	21	24	40	17	23	18	8	12	8	4	11	17				
	10%	9%	8%	7%	5%	9%	7%	9%	8%	13%	10%	10%	10%	7%	8%	13%	5%	3%	14%	9%				

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 018

4. If the legislature's tax plan becomes law and the property tax cuts actually go into effect, which of the following statements most represents what you believe will be the ultimate effect on you personally and the taxes you pay:

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====> HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	<=====AGE=====> 18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	<====GENDER====> MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
My property tax cut will be permanent if this tax plan passes	64 8%	55 8%	8 8%	5 4%	5 6%	-	50 9%	3 20%	3 7%	8 5%	19 8%	16 10%	16 8%	34 9%	30 7%
My property tax cut will be temporary if this tax plan passes because appraisal rates will go up or the legislature will ultimately increase property taxes again in the future	356 45%	310 47%	32 33%	55 47%	30 39%	4 50%	248 45%	7 47%	12 27%	74 50%	122 53%	75 48%	60 32%	182 47%	174 42%
I believe I will probably end up paying more in taxes if this tax plan passes	302 38%	242 37%	43 45%	48 41%	32 42%	1 13%	209 38%	3 20%	24 55%	51 35%	75 33%	48 31%	91 48%	138 36%	164 40%
DK/Refused DNR	78 10%	53 8%	13 14%	9 8%	10 13%	3 38%	50 9%	2 13%	5 11%	14 10%	13 6%	17 11%	23 12%	32 8%	46 11%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 019

4. If the legislature's tax plan becomes law and the property tax cuts actually go into effect, which of the following statements most represents what you believe will be the ultimate effect on you personally and the taxes you pay:

	TOTAL	-----MEDIA MARKET-----									-----GEOGRAPHIC BREAK-----						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
My property tax cut will be permanent if this tax plan passes	64 8%	9 6%	12 8%	7 10%	6 12%	7 10%	2 2%	5 8%	10 16%	6 6%	5 3%	12 8%	9 6%	20 11%	7 10%	10 16%	1 4%
My property tax cut will be temporary if this tax plan passes because appraisal rates will go up or the legislature will ultimately increase property taxes again in the future	356 45%	65 44%	78 51%	31 42%	26 50%	34 51%	39 41%	21 36%	24 38%	38 41%	68 43%	74 47%	65 44%	78 43%	34 51%	24 38%	13 46%
I believe I will probably end up paying more in taxes if this tax plan passes	302 38%	53 36%	48 32%	28 38%	19 37%	16 24%	46 49%	27 46%	23 37%	42 45%	74 47%	55 35%	53 36%	70 39%	16 24%	23 37%	11 39%
DK/Refused DNR	78 10%	20 14%	14 9%	7 10%	1 2%	10 15%	7 7%	6 10%	6 10%	7 8%	11 7%	16 10%	20 14%	12 7%	10 15%	6 10%	3 11%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 020

4. If the legislature's tax plan becomes law and the property tax cuts actually go into effect, which of the following statements most represents what you believe will be the ultimate effect on you personally and the taxes you pay:

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENENT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENENT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
My property tax cut will be permanent if this tax plan passes	64 8%	19 9%	4 10%	-	6 9%	10 7%	4 7%	7 9%	4 5%	-	15 11%	9 7%	8 7%	12 8%	9 9%	4 5%
My property tax cut will be temporary if this tax plan passes because appraisal rates will go up or the legislature will ultimately increase property taxes again in the future	356 45%	111 53%	17 40%	3 60%	19 28%	71 52%	30 49%	26 33%	41 54%	6 23%	73 54%	59 44%	51 43%	71 46%	44 44%	29 34%
I believe I will probably end up paying more in taxes if this tax plan passes	302 38%	66 31%	17 40%	1 20%	37 54%	48 35%	23 38%	31 39%	27 36%	17 65%	37 28%	53 39%	54 45%	58 37%	34 34%	42 49%
DK/Refused DNR	78 10%	15 7%	4 10%	1 20%	7 10%	8 6%	4 7%	15 19%	4 5%	3 12%	9 7%	14 10%	7 6%	14 9%	13 13%	11 13%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 021
5. The legislature's tax plan includes expanding the franchise tax to personal partnerships, like doctors and accountants, and the income the individual partners earn. Many say that this expanded franchise tax is actually a tax on income and is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas. Those who support the plan argue that these partnerships are actually businesses and it's only fair that they also pay the franchise tax. Do you agree that expanding the franchise tax to include individual partners is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas or do you agree it is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax?

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID====>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>				<FRANCHISE TAX>			<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>	
	TOTAL	<===BUSINESS===>				<=CUT PROP TAX>		<=PLAN CUT PRP>		<===WILL PERSONALLY===>				FIRST	ONLY	CUT	ALREADY	NEW
	COMPLTE	ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	STEP	FAIR	SPNDING	CUT	TAX	DAMAGE
Total	800	106	111	85	416	365	427	310	342	64	356	302	244	401	479	229	119	542
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The first step toward a personal income tax in Texas	244	33	40	33	119	108	134	85	121	18	117	89	244	-	162	63	36	172
	31%	31%	36%	39%	29%	30%	31%	27%	35%	28%	33%	29%	100%		34%	28%	30%	32%
It is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax	401	63	59	44	206	198	201	186	161	35	183	159	-	401	233	135	72	288
	50%	59%	53%	52%	50%	54%	47%	60%	47%	55%	51%	53%		100%	49%	59%	61%	53%
DK/Refused DNR	155	10	12	8	91	59	92	39	60	11	56	54	-	-	84	31	11	82
	19%	9%	11%	9%	22%	16%	22%	13%	18%	17%	16%	18%			18%	14%	9%	15%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 022
5. The legislature's tax plan includes expanding the franchise tax to personal partnerships, like doctors and accountants, and the income the individual partners earn. Many say that this expanded franchise tax is actually a tax on income and is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas. Those who support the plan argue that these partnerships are actually businesses and it's only fair that they also pay the franchise tax. Do you agree that expanding the franchise tax to include individual partners is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas or do you agree it is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax?

	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<==LEGISLATION==>			<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>						
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<==PROP TAXES=> YES	NO	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCR	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%				
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The first step toward a personal income tax in Texas	244 31%	121 30%	84 32%	157 34%	62 27%	61 26%	159 35%	90 33%	71 26%	58 31%	136 35%	65 40%	71 31%	67 25%	27 28%	20 21%	43 27%	34 27%	36 44%	73 37%				
It is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax	401 50%	223 55%	131 50%	237 51%	128 55%	137 57%	213 47%	131 49%	155 56%	92 49%	174 45%	69 42%	105 46%	151 57%	57 59%	50 53%	92 58%	66 52%	34 42%	97 49%				
DK/Refused DNR	155 19%	61 15%	46 18%	73 16%	41 18%	41 17%	84 18%	48 18%	49 18%	36 19%	79 20%	29 18%	50 22%	47 18%	12 13%	24 26%	23 15%	26 21%	11 14%	27 14%				

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 023
5. The legislature's tax plan includes expanding the franchise tax to personal partnerships, like doctors and accountants, and the income the individual partners earn. Many say that this expanded franchise tax is actually a tax on income and is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas. Those who support the plan argue that these partnerships are actually businesses and it's only fair that they also pay the franchise tax. Do you agree that expanding the franchise tax to include individual partners is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas or do you agree it is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax?

	TOTAL	<OWN/RENT HOME>			=====RACE=====					=====AGE=====					=====GENDER=====	
	COMPLTE	OWN	RENT	HOME	HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%	
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
The first step toward a personal income tax in Texas	244 31%	202 31%	31 32%	37 32%	15 19%	2 25%	178 32%	6 40%	13 30%	57 39%	77 34%	37 24%	52 27%	125 32%	119 29%	
It is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax	401 50%	338 51%	46 48%	62 53%	50 65%	5 63%	270 48%	5 33%	26 59%	62 42%	116 51%	94 60%	88 46%	192 50%	209 50%	
DK/Refused DNR	155 19%	120 18%	19 20%	18 15%	12 16%	1 13%	109 20%	4 27%	5 11%	28 19%	36 16%	25 16%	50 26%	69 18%	86 21%	

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 024
5. The legislature's tax plan includes expanding the franchise tax to personal partnerships, like doctors and accountants, and the income the individual partners earn. Many say that this expanded franchise tax is actually a tax on income and is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas. Those who support the plan argue that these partnerships are actually businesses and it's only fair that they also pay the franchise tax. Do you agree that expanding the franchise tax to include individual partners is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas or do you agree it is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax?

	TOTAL	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>									<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The first step toward a personal income tax in Texas	244 31%	52 35%	56 37%	25 34%	10 19%	18 27%	20 21%	20 34%	17 27%	26 28%	47 30%	48 31%	52 35%	55 31%	18 27%	17 27%	7 25%
It is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax	401 50%	62 42%	66 43%	38 52%	31 60%	39 58%	53 56%	29 49%	33 52%	50 54%	77 49%	81 52%	62 42%	94 52%	39 58%	33 52%	15 54%
DK/Refused DNR	155 19%	33 22%	30 20%	10 14%	11 21%	10 15%	21 22%	10 17%	13 21%	17 18%	34 22%	28 18%	33 22%	31 17%	10 15%	13 21%	6 21%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 025
5. The legislature's tax plan includes expanding the franchise tax to personal partnerships, like doctors and accountants, and the income the individual partners earn. Many say that this expanded franchise tax is actually a tax on income and is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas. Those who support the plan argue that these partnerships are actually businesses and it's only fair that they also pay the franchise tax. Do you agree that expanding the franchise tax to include individual partners is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas or do you agree it is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax?

	TOTAL	<=====REPUBLICAN=====			<=====DEMOCRAT=====			<=====INDPENENEDENT=====			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT===>		<INDPENENEDENT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The first step toward a personal income tax in Texas	244 31%	77 36%	8 19%	4 80%	21 30%	35 26%	14 23%	31 39%	18 24%	7 27%	48 36%	42 31%	29 24%	42 27%	34 34%	24 28%
It is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax	401 50%	100 47%	27 64%	1 20%	31 45%	77 56%	41 67%	31 39%	46 61%	14 54%	65 49%	66 49%	69 58%	86 55%	49 49%	43 50%
DK/Refused DNR	155 19%	34 16%	7 17%	-	17 25%	25 18%	6 10%	17 22%	12 16%	5 19%	21 16%	27 20%	22 18%	27 17%	17 17%	19 22%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 026
6. Many critics of this property tax cut plan have suggested that the Texas state government should simply cut spending by 3 percent across the board to cut property taxes rather than increasing any taxes. Those who support the tax plan have responded that spending has been cut all it can be and taxes must be increased in certain areas to provide for a property tax cut. What about you? Would you rather see state spending cut by 3 percent across the board to provide for property tax cuts or do you agree that spending has been cut all it can be?

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID====>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>			<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>			
	TOTAL	<===BUSINESS===>				<=CUT PROP TAX>	<=PLAN CUT PRP>	<===WILL PERSONALLY===>			FIRST	ONLY	CUT	ALREADY	NEW	PUNITVE		
	COMPLTE	ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	STEP	FAIR	SPNDING	CUT	TAX	DAMAGE
Total	800	106	111	85	416	365	427	310	342	64	356	302	244	401	479	229	119	542
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cut spending rather than increasing any taxes	479	63	72	63	245	215	259	181	208	42	221	173	162	233	479	-	72	341
	60%	59%	65%	74%	59%	59%	61%	58%	61%	66%	62%	57%	66%	58%	100%		61%	63%
Spending has been cut all it can be	229	32	30	16	128	116	113	101	97	16	104	97	63	135	-	229	42	151
	29%	30%	27%	19%	31%	32%	26%	33%	28%	25%	29%	32%	26%	34%	100%	35%	28%	
DK/Refused DNR	92	11	9	6	43	34	55	28	37	6	31	32	19	33	-	-	5	50
	12%	10%	8%	7%	10%	9%	13%	9%	11%	9%	9%	11%	8%	8%			4%	9%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 027

6. Many critics of this property tax cut plan have suggested that the Texas state government should simply cut spending by 3 percent across the board to cut property taxes rather than increasing any taxes. Those who support the tax plan have responded that spending has been cut all it can be and taxes must be increased in certain areas to provide for a property tax cut. What about you? Would you rather see state spending cut by 3 percent across the board to provide for property tax cuts or do you agree that spending has been cut all it can be?

	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>					
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<==PROP TAXES=> YES	NO	<===SPENDING===> SUPPORT	<=LEGISLATION=> OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET CON	V CONSR	SME CON	MODERTE	NET LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cut spending rather than increasing any taxes	479 60%	270 67%	143 55%	307 66%	134 58%	135 56%	292 64%	178 66%	144 52%	115 62%	267 69%	122 75%	145 64%	131 49%	52 54%	64 68%	94 59%	72 57%	53 65%	106 54%
Spending has been cut all it can be	229 29%	106 26%	95 36%	123 26%	74 32%	79 33%	115 25%	70 26%	98 36%	45 24%	90 23%	27 17%	63 28%	94 35%	35 36%	22 23%	43 27%	41 33%	25 31%	76 39%
DK/Refused DNR	92 12%	29 7%	23 9%	37 8%	23 10%	25 10%	49 11%	21 8%	33 12%	26 14%	32 8%	14 9%	18 8%	40 15%	9 9%	8 9%	21 13%	13 10%	3 4%	15 8%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 028

6. Many critics of this property tax cut plan have suggested that the Texas state government should simply cut spending by 3 percent across the board to cut property taxes rather than increasing any taxes. Those who support the tax plan have responded that spending has been cut all it can be and taxes must be increased in certain areas to provide for a property tax cut. What about you? Would you rather see state spending cut by 3 percent across the board to provide for property tax cuts or do you agree that spending has been cut all it can be?

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
			HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE	
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cut spending rather than increasing any taxes	479 60%	407 62%	47 49%	74 63%	43 56%	4 50%	331 59%	10 67%	26 59%	84 57%	131 57%	111 71%	110 58%	228 59%	251 61%
Spending has been cut all it can be	229 29%	185 28%	36 38%	33 28%	24 31%	4 50%	160 29%	3 20%	11 25%	48 33%	70 31%	36 23%	52 27%	120 31%	109 26%
DK/Refused DNR	92 12%	68 10%	13 14%	10 9%	10 13%	-	66 12%	2 13%	7 16%	15 10%	28 12%	9 6%	28 15%	38 10%	54 13%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 029
6. Many critics of this property tax cut plan have suggested that the Texas state government should simply cut spending by 3 percent across the board to cut property taxes rather than increasing any taxes. Those who support the tax plan have responded that spending has been cut all it can be and taxes must be increased in certain areas to provide for a property tax cut. What about you? Would you rather see state spending cut by 3 percent across the board to provide for property tax cuts or do you agree that spending has been cut all it can be?

	TOTAL	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>									<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cut spending rather than increasing any taxes	479 60%	91 62%	95 63%	33 45%	26 50%	39 58%	59 63%	39 66%	39 62%	58 62%	99 63%	94 60%	91 62%	100 56%	39 58%	39 62%	17 61%
Spending has been cut all it can be	229 29%	33 22%	41 27%	25 34%	24 46%	20 30%	31 33%	10 17%	21 33%	24 26%	44 28%	48 31%	33 22%	55 31%	20 30%	21 33%	8 29%
DK/Refused DNR	92 12%	23 16%	16 11%	15 21%	2 4%	8 12%	4 4%	10 17%	3 5%	11 12%	15 9%	15 10%	23 16%	25 14%	8 12%	3 5%	3 11%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 030
6. Many critics of this property tax cut plan have suggested that the Texas state government should simply cut spending by 3 percent across the board to cut property taxes rather than increasing any taxes. Those who support the tax plan have responded that spending has been cut all it can be and taxes must be increased in certain areas to provide for a property tax cut. What about you? Would you rather see state spending cut by 3 percent across the board to provide for property tax cuts or do you agree that spending has been cut all it can be?

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENEDNT====>			<==REPUBLICAN=>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENEDNT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cut spending rather than increasing any taxes	479 60%	147 70%	18 43%	4 80%	43 62%	71 52%	27 44%	58 73%	36 47%	19 73%	86 64%	92 68%	59 49%	85 55%	64 64%	51 59%
Spending has been cut all it can be	229 29%	50 24%	19 45%	-	21 30%	46 34%	29 48%	11 14%	28 37%	4 15%	39 29%	31 23%	47 39%	51 33%	25 25%	20 23%
DK/Refused DNR	92 12%	14 7%	5 12%	1 20%	5 7%	20 15%	5 8%	10 13%	12 16%	3 12%	9 7%	12 9%	14 12%	19 12%	11 11%	15 17%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 031
7. Another option being considered is that, rather than create new taxes on businesses, the Texas legislature could tax punitive damage awards. As you may be aware, punitive damage awards are those awards given during the course of a lawsuit to cover things like pain and suffering. On average, trial lawyers keep between one-third to one-half of this award. Which do you think is a better idea, put new taxes on Texas businesses or tax lawyers through a tax on punitive damage awards?

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID====>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>			<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>			
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===BUSINESS===> ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	<=CUT PROP TAX> INFRMED	UNINFRM	<=PLAN CUT PRP> FAVOR	OPPOSE	<===WILL PERSONALLY===> PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New tax on Texas businesses	119 15%	14 13%	17 15%	23 27%	59 14%	68 19%	50 12%	46 15%	57 17%	16 25%	58 16%	38 13%	36 15%	72 18%	72 15%	42 18%	119 100%	-
Tax punitive damage awards	542 68%	77 73%	77 69%	57 67%	278 67%	242 66%	298 70%	217 70%	232 68%	37 58%	249 70%	217 72%	172 70%	288 72%	341 71%	151 66%	-	542 100%
Both DNR	30 4%	10 9%	3 3%	-	12 3%	13 4%	16 4%	16 5%	9 3%	4 6%	10 3%	9 3%	7 3%	12 3%	7 1%	15 7%	-	-
Neither DNR	46 6%	2 2%	6 5%	2 2%	33 8%	20 5%	25 6%	15 5%	19 6%	3 5%	17 5%	17 6%	12 7%	12 3%	28 6%	9 4%	-	-
DK/Refused DNR	63 8%	3 3%	8 7%	3 4%	34 8%	22 6%	38 9%	16 5%	25 7%	4 6%	22 6%	21 7%	12 5%	17 4%	31 6%	12 5%	-	-

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 032
7. Another option being considered is that, rather than create new taxes on businesses, the Texas legislature could tax punitive damage awards. As you may be aware, punitive damage awards are those awards given during the course of a lawsuit to cover things like pain and suffering. On average, trial lawyers keep between one-third to one-half of this award. Which do you think is a better idea, put new taxes on Texas businesses or tax lawyers through a tax on punitive damage awards?

	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>					
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<==PROP TAXES=> YES	NO	<==SPENDING==> SUPPORT	<==LEGISLATION=> OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET CON	V CONSR	SME CON	MODERTE	NET LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New tax on Texas businesses	119 15%	64 16%	44 17%	74 16%	35 15%	47 20%	59 13%	35 13%	45 16%	30 16%	44 11%	19 12%	25 11%	47 18%	22 23%	18 19%	24 15%	22 17%	10 12%	28 14%
Tax punitive damage awards	542 68%	287 71%	180 69%	326 70%	161 70%	155 65%	333 73%	198 74%	180 65%	121 65%	285 73%	117 72%	168 74%	173 65%	57 59%	62 66%	105 66%	90 71%	60 74%	135 69%
Both DNR	30 4%	14 3%	9 3%	18 4%	7 3%	15 6%	8 2%	7 3%	13 5%	4 2%	13 3%	6 4%	7 3%	9 3%	5 5%	2 2%	2 1%	2 2%	4 5%	10 5%
Neither DNR	46 6%	19 5%	13 5%	27 6%	12 5%	9 4%	27 6%	16 6%	14 5%	15 8%	23 6%	11 7%	12 5%	17 6%	4 4%	3 3%	10 6%	7 6%	6 7%	13 7%
DK/Refused DNR	63 8%	21 5%	15 6%	22 5%	16 7%	13 5%	29 6%	13 5%	23 8%	16 9%	24 6%	10 6%	14 6%	19 7%	8 8%	9 10%	17 11%	5 4%	1 1%	11 6%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 033
7. Another option being considered is that, rather than create new taxes on businesses, the Texas legislature could tax punitive damage awards. As you may be aware, punitive damage awards are those awards given during the course of a lawsuit to cover things like pain and suffering. On average, trial lawyers keep between one-third to one-half of this award. Which do you think is a better idea, put new taxes on Texas businesses or tax lawyers through a tax on punitive damage awards?

	TOTAL	<OWN/RENT	HOME>	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
	COMPLTE	OWN	RENT	HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New tax on Texas businesses	119 15%	92 14%	20 21%	23 20%	18 23%	-	72 13%	3 20%	12 27%	18 12%	39 17%	23 15%	21 11%	64 17%	55 13%
Tax punitive damage awards	542 68%	460 70%	62 65%	78 67%	44 57%	7 88%	390 70%	9 60%	24 55%	109 74%	158 69%	107 69%	128 67%	260 67%	282 68%
Both DNR	30 4%	23 3%	4 4%	2 2%	7 9%	-	20 4%	1 7%	3 7%	4 3%	10 4%	6 4%	3 2%	16 4%	14 3%
Neither DNR	46 6%	38 6%	4 4%	6 5%	1 1%	-	33 6%	2 13%	1 2%	5 3%	12 5%	9 6%	15 8%	24 6%	22 5%
DK/Refused DNR	63 8%	47 7%	6 6%	8 7%	7 9%	1 13%	42 8%	-	4 9%	11 7%	10 4%	11 7%	23 12%	22 6%	41 10%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 034
7. Another option being considered is that, rather than create new taxes on businesses, the Texas legislature could tax punitive damage awards. As you may be aware, punitive damage awards are those awards given during the course of a lawsuit to cover things like pain and suffering. On average, trial lawyers keep between one-third to one-half of this award. Which do you think is a better idea, put new taxes on Texas businesses or tax lawyers through a tax on punitive damage awards?

	TOTAL	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>										<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>					
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New tax on Texas businesses	119 15%	26 18%	24 16%	12 16%	12 23%	12 18%	10 11%	5 8%	10 16%	8 9%	17 11%	19 12%	26 18%	33 18%	12 18%	10 16%	2 7%
Tax punitive damage awards	542 68%	93 63%	100 66%	49 67%	37 71%	42 63%	68 72%	45 76%	40 63%	68 73%	108 68%	114 73%	93 63%	124 69%	42 63%	40 63%	21 75%
Both DNR	30 4%	7 5%	5 3%	3 4%	1 2%	4 6%	3 3%	-	-	7 8%	5 3%	8 5%	7 5%	6 3%	4 6%	-	-
Neither DNR	46 6%	14 10%	6 4%	3 4%	2 4%	2 3%	8 9%	3 5%	4 6%	4 4%	12 8%	5 3%	14 10%	8 4%	2 3%	4 6%	1 4%
DK/Refused DNR	63 8%	7 5%	17 11%	6 8%	-	7 10%	5 5%	6 10%	9 14%	6 6%	16 10%	11 7%	7 5%	9 5%	7 10%	9 14%	4 14%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 035
7. Another option being considered is that, rather than create new taxes on businesses, the Texas legislature could tax punitive damage awards. As you may be aware, punitive damage awards are those awards given during the course of a lawsuit to cover things like pain and suffering. On average, trial lawyers keep between one-third to one-half of this award. Which do you think is a better idea, put new taxes on Texas businesses or tax lawyers through a tax on punitive damage awards?

	TOTAL	<=====REPUBLICAN=====			<=====DEMOCRAT=====			<=====INDPENENEDENT=====			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT===>		<INDPENENEDENT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	ModerTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	ModerTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	ModerTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New tax on Texas businesses	119 15%	25 12%	8 19%	1 20%	9 13%	24 18%	12 20%	9 11%	13 17%	8 31%	17 13%	18 13%	21 18%	24 15%	20 20%	10 12%
Tax punitive damage awards	542 68%	155 73%	30 71%	4 80%	47 68%	90 66%	38 62%	58 73%	48 63%	12 46%	98 73%	100 74%	80 67%	100 65%	64 64%	57 66%
Both DNR	30 4%	6 3%	1 2%	-	4 6%	4 3%	5 8%	2 3%	2 3%	-	6 4%	1 1%	7 6%	6 4%	1 1%	3 3%
Neither DNR	46 6%	16 8%	-	-	3 4%	10 7%	1 2%	4 5%	7 9%	3 12%	7 5%	9 7%	7 6%	7 5%	9 9%	6 7%
DK/Refused DNR	63 8%	9 4%	3 7%	-	6 9%	9 7%	5 8%	6 8%	6 8%	3 12%	6 4%	7 5%	5 4%	18 12%	6 6%	10 12%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 036
8. The Texas House recently passed legislation to make it easier for taxpayers to call for an election to rollback property taxes as appraised values rise-which causes tax bills to increase. Do you believe this legislation should be passed by the senate and signed by the governor?

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID==>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>			<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>			
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===BUSINESS===> ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	<=CUT PROP TAX> INFRMED	UNINFRM	<=PLAN CUT PRP> FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes	405 51%	60 57%	64 58%	39 46%	213 51%	197 54%	207 48%	187 60%	155 45%	36 56%	196 55%	138 46%	121 50%	223 56%	270 56%	106 46%	64 54%	287 53%
No	261 33%	33 31%	35 32%	32 38%	141 34%	125 34%	134 31%	85 27%	134 39%	22 34%	109 31%	110 36%	84 34%	131 33%	143 30%	95 41%	44 37%	180 33%
DK/Refused DNR	134 17%	13 12%	12 11%	14 16%	62 15%	43 12%	86 20%	38 12%	53 15%	6 9%	51 14%	54 18%	39 16%	47 12%	66 14%	28 12%	11 9%	75 14%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 037

8. The Texas House recently passed legislation to make it easier for taxpayers to call for an election to rollback property taxes as appraised values rise-which causes tax bills to increase. Do you believe this legislation should be passed by the senate and signed by the governor?

	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<==LEGISLATION==>			<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>				<=====INCOME=====>							
	TOTAL	<==PROP TAXES=>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>	<==SPENDING==>				
	COMPLTE	YES	NO	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+
Total	800	405	261	467	231	239	456	269	275	186	389	163	226	265	96	94	158	126	81	197				
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes	405	405	-	278	88	124	245	147	131	101	228	101	127	128	36	43	74	67	41	114				
	51%	100%		60%	38%	52%	54%	55%	48%	54%	59%	62%	56%	48%	38%	46%	47%	53%	51%	58%				
No	261	-	261	126	109	83	149	83	96	57	116	40	76	90	43	28	60	38	32	63				
	33%		100%	27%	47%	35%	33%	31%	35%	31%	30%	25%	34%	34%	45%	30%	38%	30%	40%	32%				
DK/Refused DNR	134	-	-	63	34	32	62	39	48	28	45	22	23	47	17	23	24	21	8	20				
	17%			13%	15%	13%	14%	14%	17%	15%	12%	13%	10%	18%	18%	24%	15%	17%	10%	10%				

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 038
8. The Texas House recently passed legislation to make it easier for taxpayers to call for an election to rollback property taxes as appraised values rise-which causes tax bills to increase. Do you believe this legislation should be passed by the senate and signed by the governor?

	TOTAL	<OWN/RENT	HOME>	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
	COMPLTE	OWN	RENT	HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800	660	96	117	77	8	557	15	44	147	229	156	190	386	414
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes	405	349	45	69	31	2	280	12	27	81	120	85	81	188	217
	51%	53%	47%	59%	40%	25%	50%	80%	61%	55%	52%	54%	43%	49%	52%
No	261	212	35	35	33	5	178	3	12	44	84	45	68	142	119
	33%	32%	36%	30%	43%	63%	32%	20%	27%	30%	37%	29%	36%	37%	29%
DK/Refused DNR	134	99	16	13	13	1	99	-	5	22	25	26	41	56	78
	17%	15%	17%	11%	17%	13%	18%		11%	15%	11%	17%	22%	15%	19%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 039
8. The Texas House recently passed legislation to make it easier for taxpayers to call for an election to rollback property taxes as appraised values rise-which causes tax bills to increase. Do you believe this legislation should be passed by the senate and signed by the governor?

	TOTAL COMPLTE	=====MEDIA MARKET=====									<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>						
		DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes	405 51%	73 50%	75 49%	35 48%	30 58%	40 60%	44 47%	28 47%	33 52%	47 51%	78 49%	74 47%	73 50%	91 51%	40 60%	33 52%	16 57%
No	261 33%	46 31%	52 34%	23 32%	14 27%	18 27%	33 35%	23 39%	21 33%	31 33%	51 32%	56 36%	46 31%	59 33%	18 27%	21 33%	10 36%
DK/Refused DNR	134 17%	28 19%	25 16%	15 21%	8 15%	9 13%	17 18%	8 14%	9 14%	15 16%	29 18%	27 17%	28 19%	30 17%	9 13%	9 14%	2 7%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 040
8. The Texas House recently passed legislation to make it easier for taxpayers to call for an election to rollback property taxes as appraised values rise-which causes tax bills to increase. Do you believe this legislation should be passed by the senate and signed by the governor?

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENEDNT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENEDNT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes	405 51%	130 62%	14 33%	2 40%	33 48%	72 53%	24 39%	51 65%	39 51%	9 35%	71 53%	76 56%	50 42%	81 52%	55 55%	46 53%
No	261 33%	59 28%	20 48%	1 20%	22 32%	41 30%	29 48%	23 29%	23 30%	10 38%	47 35%	36 27%	52 43%	44 28%	32 32%	25 29%
DK/Refused DNR	134 17%	22 10%	8 19%	2 40%	14 20%	24 18%	8 13%	5 6%	14 18%	7 27%	16 12%	23 17%	18 15%	30 19%	13 13%	15 17%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 041
9. The Texas legislature is likely to increase two-year government spending by twenty percent before they conclude their business at the end of the month. Would you support or oppose a constitutional amendment that strictly limits the growth in government spending to inflation plus population growth, which would currently be approximately ten percent over two years?

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID==>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>		<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>				
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===BUSINESS===> ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	<=CUT PROP TAX> INFRMED	UNINFRM	<=PLAN CUT PRP> FAVOR	OPPOSE	<===WILL PERSONALLY===> PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO SUPPORT	467 58%	69 65%	63 57%	47 55%	254 61%	227 62%	237 56%	212 68%	179 52%	41 64%	241 68%	151 50%	157 64%	237 59%	307 64%	123 54%	74 62%	326 60%
Strongly support	258 32%	44 42%	23 21%	22 26%	151 36%	130 36%	125 29%	111 36%	101 30%	22 34%	136 38%	79 26%	98 40%	127 32%	175 37%	64 28%	38 32%	184 34%
Somewhat support	209 26%	25 24%	40 36%	25 29%	103 25%	97 27%	112 26%	101 33%	78 23%	19 30%	105 29%	72 24%	59 24%	110 27%	132 28%	59 26%	36 30%	142 26%
Somewhat oppose	103 13%	16 15%	22 20%	9 11%	48 12%	43 12%	59 14%	31 10%	54 16%	9 14%	39 11%	51 17%	26 11%	60 15%	59 12%	33 14%	14 12%	71 13%
Strongly oppose	128 16%	13 12%	12 11%	16 19%	72 17%	66 18%	62 15%	40 13%	75 22%	11 17%	45 13%	65 22%	36 15%	68 17%	75 16%	41 18%	21 18%	90 17%
COMBO OPPOSE	231 29%	29 27%	34 31%	25 29%	120 29%	109 30%	121 28%	71 23%	129 38%	20 31%	84 24%	116 38%	62 25%	128 32%	134 28%	74 32%	35 29%	161 30%
DK/Refused DNR	102 13%	8 8%	14 13%	13 15%	42 10%	29 8%	69 16%	27 9%	34 10%	3 5%	31 9%	35 12%	25 10%	36 9%	38 8%	32 14%	10 8%	55 10%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 042

9. The Texas legislature is likely to increase two-year government spending by twenty percent before they conclude their business at the end of the month. Would you support or oppose a constitutional amendment that strictly limits the growth in government spending to inflation plus population growth, which would currently be approximately ten percent over two years?

	TOTAL	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<==LEGISLATION==>			<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>				<=====INCOME=====>						
	COMPLTE	<==PROP TAXES=>	NO	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCR	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%				
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO SUPPORT	467 58%	278 69%	126 48%	467 100%	-	142 59%	282 62%	167 62%	139 51%	124 67%	244 63%	105 64%	139 62%	156 59%	47 49%	51 54%	84 53%	69 55%	54 67%	127 64%				
Strongly support	258 32%	158 39%	69 26%	258 55%	-	73 31%	161 35%	98 36%	69 25%	69 37%	143 37%	63 39%	80 35%	78 29%	26 27%	23 24%	35 22%	47 37%	25 31%	86 44%				
Somewhat support	209 26%	120 30%	57 22%	209 45%	-	69 29%	121 27%	69 26%	70 25%	55 30%	101 26%	42 26%	59 26%	78 29%	21 22%	28 30%	49 31%	22 17%	29 36%	41 21%				
Somewhat oppose	103 13%	38 9%	48 18%	-	103 45%	38 16%	53 12%	36 13%	40 15%	18 10%	49 13%	21 13%	28 12%	35 13%	16 17%	8 9%	30 19%	20 16%	9 11%	25 13%				
Strongly oppose	128 16%	50 12%	61 23%	-	128 55%	37 15%	81 18%	37 14%	58 21%	24 13%	51 13%	25 15%	26 12%	44 17%	23 24%	18 19%	21 13%	30 24%	7 9%	26 13%				
COMBO OPPOSE	231 29%	88 22%	109 42%	-	231 100%	75 31%	134 29%	73 27%	98 36%	42 23%	100 26%	46 28%	54 24%	79 30%	39 41%	26 28%	51 32%	50 40%	16 20%	51 26%				
DK/Refused DNR	102 13%	39 10%	26 10%	-	-	22 9%	40 9%	29 11%	38 14%	20 11%	45 12%	12 7%	33 15%	30 11%	10 10%	17 18%	23 15%	7 6%	11 14%	19 10%				

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 043

9. The Texas legislature is likely to increase two-year government spending by twenty percent before they conclude their business at the end of the month. Would you support or oppose a constitutional amendment that strictly limits the growth in government spending to inflation plus population growth, which would currently be approximately ten percent over two years?

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====> HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	<=====AGE=====> 18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	<====GENDER====> MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO SUPPORT	467 58%	389 59%	57 59%	62 53%	46 60%	3 38%	331 59%	10 67%	30 68%	90 61%	136 59%	101 65%	93 49%	239 62%	228 55%
Strongly support	258 32%	221 33%	28 29%	30 26%	18 23%	1 13%	192 34%	8 53%	10 23%	49 33%	86 38%	61 39%	40 21%	141 37%	117 28%
Somewhat support	209 26%	168 25%	29 30%	32 27%	28 36%	2 25%	139 25%	2 13%	20 45%	41 28%	50 22%	40 26%	53 28%	98 25%	111 27%
Somewhat oppose	103 13%	88 13%	13 14%	28 24%	10 13%	1 13%	62 11%	2 13%	4 9%	27 18%	35 15%	14 9%	22 12%	42 11%	61 15%
Strongly oppose	128 16%	106 16%	13 14%	18 15%	10 13%	-	95 17%	2 13%	5 11%	14 10%	38 17%	17 11%	46 24%	66 17%	62 15%
COMBO OPPOSE	231 29%	194 29%	26 27%	46 39%	20 26%	1 13%	157 28%	4 27%	9 20%	41 28%	73 32%	31 20%	68 36%	108 28%	123 30%
DK/Refused DNR	102 13%	77 12%	13 14%	9 8%	11 14%	4 50%	69 12%	1 7%	5 11%	16 11%	20 9%	24 15%	29 15%	39 10%	63 15%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 044

9. The Texas legislature is likely to increase two-year government spending by twenty percent before they conclude their business at the end of the month. Would you support or oppose a constitutional amendment that strictly limits the growth in government spending to inflation plus population growth, which would currently be approximately ten percent over two years?

	TOTAL COMPLTE	-----MEDIA MARKET-----									-----GEOGRAPHIC BREAK-----						
		DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO SUPPORT	467 58%	91 62%	102 67%	37 51%	30 58%	39 58%	51 54%	36 61%	29 46%	52 56%	93 59%	94 60%	91 62%	101 56%	39 58%	29 46%	20 71%
Strongly support	258 32%	54 37%	55 36%	25 34%	18 35%	19 28%	25 27%	15 25%	12 19%	35 38%	51 32%	54 34%	54 37%	61 34%	19 28%	12 19%	7 25%
Somewhat support	209 26%	37 25%	47 31%	12 16%	12 23%	20 30%	26 28%	21 36%	17 27%	17 18%	42 27%	40 25%	37 25%	40 22%	20 30%	17 27%	13 46%
Somewhat oppose	103 13%	13 9%	21 14%	10 14%	5 10%	9 13%	17 18%	5 8%	14 22%	9 10%	24 15%	19 12%	13 9%	22 12%	9 13%	14 22%	2 7%
Strongly oppose	128 16%	18 12%	15 10%	16 22%	13 25%	12 18%	13 14%	11 19%	14 22%	16 17%	21 13%	22 14%	18 12%	38 21%	12 18%	14 22%	3 11%
COMBO OPPOSE	231 29%	31 21%	36 24%	26 36%	18 35%	21 31%	30 32%	16 27%	28 44%	25 27%	45 28%	41 26%	31 21%	60 33%	21 31%	28 44%	5 18%
DK/Refused DNR	102 13%	25 17%	14 9%	10 14%	4 8%	7 10%	13 14%	7 12%	6 10%	16 17%	20 13%	22 14%	25 17%	19 11%	7 10%	6 10%	3 11%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 045

9. The Texas legislature is likely to increase two-year government spending by twenty percent before they conclude their business at the end of the month. Would you support or oppose a constitutional amendment that strictly limits the growth in government spending to inflation plus population growth, which would currently be approximately ten percent over two years?

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENEDNT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENEDNT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	ModerTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	ModerTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	ModerTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO SUPPORT	467 58%	138 65%	20 48%	4 80%	33 48%	81 59%	23 38%	55 70%	48 63%	18 69%	93 69%	74 55%	59 49%	80 52%	68 68%	56 65%
Strongly support	258 32%	84 40%	11 26%	1 20%	16 23%	38 28%	14 23%	30 38%	28 37%	10 38%	58 43%	40 30%	31 26%	38 25%	40 40%	29 34%
Somewhat support	209 26%	54 26%	9 21%	3 60%	17 25%	43 31%	9 15%	25 32%	20 26%	8 31%	35 26%	34 25%	28 23%	42 27%	28 28%	27 31%
Somewhat oppose	103 13%	26 12%	9 21%	-	12 17%	15 11%	12 20%	8 10%	8 11%	2 8%	13 10%	23 17%	17 14%	23 15%	9 9%	9 10%
Strongly oppose	128 16%	28 13%	8 19%	-	13 19%	24 18%	19 31%	8 10%	12 16%	4 15%	20 15%	17 13%	31 26%	27 17%	12 12%	12 14%
COMBO OPPOSE	231 29%	54 26%	17 40%	-	25 36%	39 28%	31 51%	16 20%	20 26%	6 23%	33 25%	40 30%	48 40%	50 32%	21 21%	21 24%
DK/Refused DNR	102 13%	19 9%	5 12%	1 20%	11 16%	17 12%	7 11%	8 10%	8 11%	2 8%	8 6%	21 16%	13 11%	25 16%	11 11%	9 10%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 046
10. School finance legislation that would trim property taxes, raise teacher salaries, increase state oversight of charter schools and launch a merit pay program for teachers was passed last Friday by the Texas Senate Education Committee. The measure, which would boost funding for public schools by about \$2.8 billion over two years would expand the current business tax and also institute a state-wide property tax. To institute a state-wide property tax, voters would need to approve a constitutional amendment. From what you know, if a vote were held on this constitutional amendment to institute a statewide property tax today, would you vote for or against it?

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID====>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>			<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>			
	TOTAL	<===BUSINESS===>				<=CUT PROP TAX>		<=PLAN CUT PRP>		<===WILL PERSONALLY===>			FIRST	ONLY	CUT	ALREADY	NEW	PUNITVE
	COMPLTE	ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	STEP	FAIR	SPNDING	CUT	TAX	DAMAGE
Total	800	106	111	85	416	365	427	310	342	64	356	302	244	401	479	229	119	542
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vote for	239	39	31	26	116	114	123	97	107	22	109	86	61	137	135	79	47	155
	30%	37%	28%	31%	28%	31%	29%	31%	31%	34%	31%	28%	25%	34%	28%	34%	39%	29%
Vote against	456	54	65	50	248	212	240	183	199	34	210	179	159	213	292	115	59	333
	57%	51%	59%	59%	60%	58%	56%	59%	58%	53%	59%	59%	65%	53%	61%	50%	50%	61%
Undecided/DK/Refused	105	13	15	9	52	39	64	30	36	8	37	37	24	51	52	35	13	54
DNR	13%	12%	14%	11%	13%	11%	15%	10%	11%	13%	10%	12%	10%	13%	11%	15%	11%	10%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 047

10. School finance legislation that would trim property taxes, raise teacher salaries, increase state oversight of charter schools and launch a merit pay program for teachers was passed last Friday by the Texas Senate Education Committee. The measure, which would boost funding for public schools by about \$2.8 billion over two years would expand the current business tax and also institute a state-wide property tax. To institute a state-wide property tax, voters would need to approve a constitutional amendment. From what you know, if a vote were held on this constitutional amendment to institute a statewide property tax today, would you vote for or against it?

	TOTAL	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>									
	COMPLTE	<==PROP TAXES=>	NO	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCR	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+	
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%					
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vote for	239 30%	124 31%	83 32%	142 30%	75 32%	239 100%	-	70 26%	108 39%	45 24%	95 24%	32 20%	63 28%	84 32%	45 47%	24 26%	46 29%	44 35%	25 31%	68 35%					
Vote against	456 57%	245 60%	149 57%	282 60%	134 58%	-	456 100%	166 62%	133 48%	119 64%	246 63%	112 69%	134 59%	149 56%	40 42%	52 55%	95 60%	70 56%	47 58%	110 56%					
Undecided/DK/Refused DNR	105 13%	36 9%	29 11%	43 9%	22 10%	-	-	33 12%	34 12%	22 12%	48 12%	19 12%	29 13%	32 12%	11 11%	18 19%	17 11%	12 10%	9 11%	19 10%					

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 048

10. School finance legislation that would trim property taxes, raise teacher salaries, increase state oversight of charter schools and launch a merit pay program for teachers was passed last Friday by the Texas Senate Education Committee. The measure, which would boost funding for public schools by about \$2.8 billion over two years would expand the current business tax and also institute a state-wide property tax. To institute a state-wide property tax, voters would need to approve a constitutional amendment. From what you know, if a vote were held on this constitutional amendment to institute a statewide property tax today, would you vote for or against it?

	TOTAL	<OWN/RENT HOME>		=====RACE=====					=====AGE=====					=====GENDER=====	
	COMPLTE	OWN	RENT	HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800	660	96	117	77	8	557	15	44	147	229	156	190	386	414
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vote for	239	184	39	46	31	3	148	3	17	53	69	43	44	110	129
	30%	28%	41%	39%	40%	38%	27%	20%	39%	36%	30%	28%	23%	28%	31%
Vote against	456	395	48	63	37	2	335	12	24	77	132	93	122	236	220
	57%	60%	50%	54%	48%	25%	60%	80%	55%	52%	58%	60%	64%	61%	53%
Undecided/DK/Refused	105	81	9	8	9	3	74	-	3	17	28	20	24	40	65
DNR	13%	12%	9%	7%	12%	38%	13%		7%	12%	12%	13%	13%	10%	16%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 049

10. School finance legislation that would trim property taxes, raise teacher salaries, increase state oversight of charter schools and launch a merit pay program for teachers was passed last Friday by the Texas Senate Education Committee. The measure, which would boost funding for public schools by about \$2.8 billion over two years would expand the current business tax and also institute a state-wide property tax. To institute a state-wide property tax, voters would need to approve a constitutional amendment. From what you know, if a vote were held on this constitutional amendment to institute a statewide property tax today, would you vote for or against it?

	TOTAL	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>										<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>					
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vote for	239 30%	42 29%	52 34%	19 26%	12 23%	28 42%	28 30%	14 24%	18 29%	26 28%	44 28%	51 32%	42 29%	48 27%	28 42%	18 29%	8 29%
Vote against	456 57%	85 58%	86 57%	47 64%	32 62%	27 40%	51 54%	34 58%	38 60%	56 60%	93 59%	89 57%	85 58%	108 60%	27 40%	38 60%	16 57%
Undecided/DK/Refused DNR	105 13%	20 14%	14 9%	7 10%	8 15%	12 18%	15 16%	11 19%	7 11%	11 12%	21 13%	17 11%	20 14%	24 13%	12 18%	7 11%	4 14%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 050

10. School finance legislation that would trim property taxes, raise teacher salaries, increase state oversight of charter schools and launch a merit pay program for teachers was passed last Friday by the Texas Senate Education Committee. The measure, which would boost funding for public schools by about \$2.8 billion over two years would expand the current business tax and also institute a state-wide property tax. To institute a state-wide property tax, voters would need to approve a constitutional amendment. From what you know, if a vote were held on this constitutional amendment to institute a statewide property tax today, would you vote for or against it?

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENEDNT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENEDNT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	ModerTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	ModerTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	ModerTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vote for	239 30%	50 24%	14 33%	2 40%	26 38%	46 34%	33 54%	15 19%	20 26%	8 31%	34 25%	36 27%	44 37%	64 41%	23 23%	22 26%
Vote against	456 57%	134 64%	23 55%	3 60%	31 45%	76 55%	22 36%	60 76%	44 58%	13 50%	87 65%	79 59%	67 56%	66 43%	67 67%	52 60%
Undecided/DK/Refused DNR	105 13%	27 13%	5 12%	-	12 17%	15 11%	6 10%	4 5%	12 16%	5 19%	13 10%	20 15%	9 8%	25 16%	10 10%	12 14%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 051
Now, just a few more questions for demographic purposes. 11. With which political party are you affiliated?

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID====>				<=CUT PROP TAX>		<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>			<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>		
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<==BUSINESS==> ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	<=PLAN CUT PRP> FAVOR	OPPOSE	<==WILL PERSONALLY==> PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republican	269 34%	49 46%	40 36%	21 25%	140 34%	144 39%	124 29%	110 35%	110 32%	24 38%	132 37%	90 30%	90 37%	131 33%	178 37%	70 31%	35 29%	198 37%
Democrat	275 34%	26 25%	41 37%	20 24%	157 38%	118 32%	155 36%	109 35%	121 35%	20 31%	122 34%	112 37%	71 29%	155 39%	144 30%	98 43%	45 38%	180 33%
Independent	186 23%	20 19%	20 18%	30 35%	100 24%	88 24%	94 22%	67 22%	85 25%	13 20%	73 21%	76 25%	58 24%	92 23%	115 24%	45 20%	30 25%	121 22%
Other (specify)	13 2%	1 1%	4 4%	2 2%	2 *	4 1%	9 2%	7 2%	4 1%	1 2%	4 1%	6 2%	4 2%	4 1%	6 1%	4 2%	1 1%	9 2%
DK/Refused DNR	57 7%	10 9%	6 5%	12 14%	17 4%	11 3%	45 11%	17 5%	22 6%	6 9%	25 7%	18 6%	21 9%	19 5%	36 8%	12 5%	8 7%	34 6%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 052
Now, just a few more questions for demographic purposes. 11. With which political party are you affiliated?

	TOTAL	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>											
	COMPLTE	<==PROP TAXES=>	YES	NO	<==SPENDING==>	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	<=LEGISLATION=>	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+
Total	800	405	261	467	231	239	456	269	275	186	389	163	226	265	96	94	158	126	81	197							
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republican	269	147	83	167	73	70	166	269	-	-	211	111	100	42	5	20	40	44	38	84							
	34%	36%	32%	36%	32%	29%	36%	100%			54%	68%	44%	16%	5%	21%	25%	35%	47%	43%							
Democrat	275	131	96	139	98	108	133	-	275	-	69	18	51	137	61	44	68	42	23	59							
	34%	32%	37%	30%	42%	45%	29%		100%		18%	11%	23%	52%	64%	47%	43%	33%	28%	30%							
Independent	186	101	57	124	42	45	119	-	-	186	79	22	57	76	26	25	39	34	15	45							
	23%	25%	22%	27%	18%	19%	26%			100%	20%	13%	25%	29%	27%	27%	25%	27%	19%	23%							
Other (specify)	13	3	8	9	4	5	6	-	-	-	7	3	4	4	1	1	1	2	3	1							
	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%				2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%							
DK/Refused DNR	57	23	17	28	14	11	32	-	-	-	23	9	14	6	3	4	10	4	2	8							
	7%	6%	7%	6%	6%	5%	7%				6%	6%	6%	2%	3%	4%	6%	3%	2%	4%							

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 053
Now, just a few more questions for demographic purposes. 11. With which political party are you affiliated?

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
			HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE	
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republican	269 34%	238 36%	22 23%	30 26%	13 17%	4 50%	211 38%	6 40%	11 25%	50 34%	88 38%	61 39%	55 29%	134 35%	135 33%
Democrat	275 34%	219 33%	48 50%	49 42%	46 60%	1 13%	174 31%	2 13%	11 25%	49 33%	77 34%	50 32%	82 43%	120 31%	155 37%
Independent	186 23%	161 24%	19 20%	29 25%	14 18%	3 38%	131 24%	4 27%	14 32%	41 28%	47 21%	36 23%	42 22%	100 26%	86 21%
Other (specify)	13 2%	11 2%	-	1 1%	3 4%	-	9 2%	-	3 7%	-	7 3%	-	2 1%	5 1%	8 2%
DK/Refused DNR	57 7%	31 5%	7 7%	8 7%	1 1%	-	32 6%	3 20%	5 11%	7 5%	10 4%	9 6%	9 5%	27 7%	30 7%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 054
Now, just a few more questions for demographic purposes. 11. With which political party are you affiliated?

	TOTAL	<-----MEDIA MARKET----->									<-----GEOGRAPHIC BREAK----->						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republican	269 34%	48 33%	48 32%	26 36%	14 27%	17 25%	28 30%	23 39%	26 41%	39 42%	51 32%	57 36%	48 33%	60 33%	17 25%	26 41%	10 36%
Democrat	275 34%	54 37%	52 34%	26 36%	22 42%	28 42%	34 36%	15 25%	16 25%	28 30%	52 33%	55 35%	54 37%	63 35%	28 42%	16 25%	7 25%
Independent	186 23%	27 18%	39 26%	17 23%	13 25%	19 28%	25 27%	14 24%	15 24%	17 18%	38 24%	37 24%	27 18%	42 23%	19 28%	15 24%	8 29%
Other (specify)	13 2%	3 2%	2 1%	-	-	2 3%	2 2%	-	2 3%	2 2%	6 4%	-	3 2%	-	2 3%	2 3%	-
DK/Refused DNR	57 7%	15 10%	11 7%	4 5%	3 6%	1 1%	5 5%	7 12%	4 6%	7 8%	11 7%	8 5%	15 10%	15 8%	1 1%	4 6%	3 11%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 055
Now, just a few more questions for demographic purposes. 11. With which political party are you affiliated?

	TOTAL	<=====REPUBLICAN=====>			<=====DEMOCRAT=====>			<=====INDPENENEDNT=====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENEDNT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Republican	269 34%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	134 100%	135 100%	-	-	-	-
Democrat	275 34%	-	-	-	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	-	-	-	-	-	120 100%	155 100%	-	-
Independent	186 23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	-	-	-	-	100 100%	86 100%
Other (specify)	13 2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DK/Refused DNR	57 7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 056

12. Thinking about issues and politics, do you consider yourself to be (ROTATE) conservative, moderate or liberal in your political beliefs? PROBE: VERY/SOMEWHAT

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID==>				<=CUT PROP TAX>		<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>			<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>	
		<===BUSINESS===> ITSELF	OWNER	EMPYLES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	<=PLAN CUT PRP> FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO CONSERVATIVE	389 49%	61 58%	60 54%	33 39%	203 49%	186 51%	202 47%	163 53%	157 46%	36 56%	166 47%	147 49%	136 56%	174 43%	267 56%	90 39%	44 37%	285 53%
Very conservative	163 20%	29 27%	22 20%	17 20%	80 19%	90 25%	72 17%	69 22%	67 20%	16 25%	76 21%	54 18%	65 27%	69 17%	122 25%	27 12%	19 16%	117 22%
Somewhat conservative	226 28%	32 30%	38 34%	16 19%	123 30%	96 26%	130 30%	94 30%	90 26%	20 31%	90 25%	93 31%	71 29%	105 26%	145 30%	63 28%	25 21%	168 31%
Moderate	265 33%	28 26%	36 32%	27 32%	145 35%	129 35%	133 31%	112 36%	107 31%	19 30%	134 38%	94 31%	67 27%	151 38%	131 27%	94 41%	47 39%	173 32%
Somewhat liberal	56 7%	10 9%	5 5%	11 13%	26 6%	25 7%	31 7%	14 5%	31 9%	3 5%	25 7%	21 7%	20 8%	32 8%	32 7%	17 7%	13 11%	35 6%
Very liberal	40 5%	4 4%	3 3%	6 7%	23 6%	11 3%	27 6%	7 2%	27 8%	2 3%	17 5%	20 7%	7 3%	25 6%	20 4%	18 8%	9 8%	22 4%
COMBO LIBERAL	96 12%	14 13%	8 7%	17 20%	49 12%	36 10%	58 14%	21 7%	58 17%	5 8%	42 12%	41 14%	27 11%	57 14%	52 11%	35 15%	22 18%	57 11%
DK/Refused DNR	50 6%	3 3%	7 6%	8 9%	19 5%	14 4%	34 8%	14 5%	20 6%	4 6%	14 4%	20 7%	14 6%	19 5%	29 6%	10 4%	6 5%	27 5%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 057
12. Thinking about issues and politics, do you consider yourself to be (ROTATE) conservative, moderate or liberal in your political beliefs? PROBE: VERY/SOMEWHAT

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<===SCHOOL FIN===>		<===LEGISLATION===>			<=====PARTY=====>					<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>				
		<===PROP TAXES===> YES	NO	<===SPENDING===> SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+	
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%					
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO CONSERVATIVE	389 49%	228 56%	116 44%	244 52%	100 43%	95 40%	246 54%	211 78%	69 25%	79 42%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	-	-	43 46%	69 44%	61 48%	47 58%	108 55%					
Very conservative	163 20%	101 25%	40 15%	105 22%	46 20%	32 13%	112 25%	111 41%	18 7%	22 12%	163 42%	163 100%	-	-	-	15 16%	25 16%	31 25%	15 19%	48 24%					
Somewhat conservative	226 28%	127 31%	76 29%	139 30%	54 23%	63 26%	134 29%	100 37%	51 19%	57 31%	226 58%	-	226 100%	-	-	28 30%	44 28%	30 24%	32 40%	60 30%					
Moderate	265 33%	128 32%	90 34%	156 33%	79 34%	84 35%	149 33%	42 16%	137 50%	76 41%	-	-	-	265 100%	-	34 36%	57 36%	41 33%	26 32%	63 32%					
Somewhat liberal	56 7%	23 6%	24 9%	29 6%	20 9%	25 10%	25 5%	5 2%	37 13%	12 6%	-	-	-	-	56 58%	8 9%	16 10%	9 7%	3 4%	11 6%					
Very liberal	40 5%	13 3%	19 7%	18 4%	19 8%	20 8%	15 3%	-	24 9%	14 8%	-	-	-	-	40 42%	6 6%	7 4%	10 8%	3 4%	11 6%					
COMBO LIBERAL	96 12%	36 9%	43 16%	47 10%	39 17%	45 19%	40 9%	5 2%	61 22%	26 14%	-	-	-	-	96 100%	14 15%	23 15%	19 15%	6 7%	22 11%					
DK/Refused DNR	50 6%	13 3%	12 5%	20 4%	13 6%	15 6%	21 5%	11 4%	8 3%	5 3%	-	-	-	-	-	3 3%	9 6%	5 4%	2 2%	4 2%					

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 058
12. Thinking about issues and politics, do you consider yourself to be (ROTATE) conservative, moderate or liberal in your political beliefs? PROBE: VERY/SOMEWHAT

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
			HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE	
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO CONSERVATIVE	389 49%	348 53%	32 33%	45 38%	26 34%	5 63%	298 54%	9 60%	14 32%	71 48%	116 51%	81 52%	104 55%	191 49%	198 48%
Very conservative	163 20%	148 22%	12 13%	16 14%	8 10%	-	132 24%	5 33%	5 11%	24 16%	53 23%	31 20%	47 25%	79 20%	84 20%
Somewhat conservative	226 28%	200 30%	20 21%	29 25%	18 23%	5 63%	166 30%	4 27%	9 20%	47 32%	63 28%	50 32%	57 30%	112 29%	114 28%
Moderate	265 33%	222 34%	36 38%	42 36%	34 44%	2 25%	177 32%	4 27%	14 32%	50 34%	83 36%	49 31%	62 33%	119 31%	146 35%
Somewhat liberal	56 7%	39 6%	13 14%	15 13%	6 8%	-	34 6%	-	9 20%	12 8%	11 5%	10 6%	12 6%	28 7%	28 7%
Very liberal	40 5%	26 4%	12 13%	9 8%	6 8%	-	21 4%	1 7%	5 11%	9 6%	11 5%	6 4%	5 3%	21 5%	19 5%
COMBO LIBERAL	96 12%	65 10%	25 26%	24 21%	12 16%	-	55 10%	1 7%	14 32%	21 14%	22 10%	16 10%	17 9%	49 13%	47 11%
DK/Refused DNR	50 6%	25 4%	3 3%	6 5%	5 6%	1 13%	27 5%	1 7%	2 5%	5 3%	8 3%	10 6%	7 4%	27 7%	23 6%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 059
12. Thinking about issues and politics, do you consider yourself to be (ROTATE) conservative, moderate or liberal in your political beliefs? PROBE: VERY/SOMEWHAT

	TOTAL	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>									<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO CONSERVATIVE	389 49%	73 50%	68 45%	33 45%	16 31%	22 33%	54 57%	33 56%	39 62%	51 55%	87 55%	79 50%	73 50%	76 42%	22 33%	39 62%	13 46%
Very conservative	163 20%	27 18%	32 21%	13 18%	3 6%	9 13%	27 29%	16 27%	18 29%	18 19%	41 26%	32 20%	27 18%	30 17%	9 13%	18 29%	6 21%
Somewhat conservative	226 28%	46 31%	36 24%	20 27%	13 25%	13 19%	27 29%	17 29%	21 33%	33 35%	46 29%	47 30%	46 31%	46 26%	13 19%	21 33%	7 25%
Moderate	265 33%	43 29%	53 35%	27 37%	25 48%	29 43%	30 32%	13 22%	15 24%	30 32%	51 32%	51 32%	43 29%	67 37%	29 43%	15 24%	9 32%
Somewhat liberal	56 7%	12 8%	12 8%	5 7%	-	8 12%	5 5%	6 10%	4 6%	4 4%	7 4%	10 6%	12 8%	13 7%	8 12%	4 6%	2 7%
Very liberal	40 5%	6 4%	10 7%	3 4%	7 13%	6 9%	2 2%	2 3%	2 3%	2 2%	4 3%	8 5%	6 4%	12 7%	6 9%	2 3%	2 7%
COMBO LIBERAL	96 12%	18 12%	22 14%	8 11%	7 13%	14 21%	7 7%	8 14%	6 10%	6 6%	11 7%	18 11%	18 12%	25 14%	14 21%	6 10%	4 14%
DK/Refused DNR	50 6%	13 9%	9 6%	5 7%	4 8%	2 3%	3 3%	5 8%	3 5%	6 6%	9 6%	9 6%	13 9%	12 7%	2 3%	3 5%	2 7%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 060

12. Thinking about issues and politics, do you consider yourself to be (ROTATE) conservative, moderate or liberal in your political beliefs? PROBE: VERY/SOMEWHAT

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENEDNT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENEDNT=>	
		CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMBO CONSERVATIVE	389 49%	211 100%	-	-	69 100%	-	-	79 100%	-	-	104 78%	107 79%	30 25%	39 25%	46 46%	33 38%
Very conservative	163 20%	111 53%	-	-	18 26%	-	-	22 28%	-	-	54 40%	57 42%	7 6%	11 7%	13 13%	9 10%
Somewhat conservative	226 28%	100 47%	-	-	51 74%	-	-	57 72%	-	-	50 37%	50 37%	23 19%	28 18%	33 33%	24 28%
Moderate	265 33%	-	42 100%	-	-	137 100%	-	-	76 100%	-	20 15%	22 16%	55 46%	82 53%	38 38%	38 44%
Somewhat liberal	56 7%	-	-	5 100%	-	-	37 61%	-	-	12 46%	3 2%	2 1%	19 16%	18 12%	6 6%	6 7%
Very liberal	40 5%	-	-	-	-	-	24 39%	-	-	14 54%	-	-	13 11%	11 7%	6 6%	8 9%
COMBO LIBERAL	96 12%	-	-	5 100%	-	-	61 100%	-	-	26 100%	3 2%	2 1%	32 27%	29 19%	12 12%	14 16%
DK/Refused DNR	50 6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7 5%	4 3%	3 3%	5 3%	4 4%	1 1%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 061
13. What is your annual family income? Is it (READ LIST)

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID=====>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>				<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>		
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===BUSINESS===>				<=CUT PROP TAX>	<=PLAN CUT PRP>	<===WILL PERSONALLY===>		FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE			
	ITSELF	OWNER	EMPYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE							
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Under \$20,000	94 12%	12 11%	11 10%	19 22%	44 11%	33 9%	59 14%	35 11%	42 12%	9 14%	25 7%	48 16%	20 8%	50 12%	64 13%	22 10%	18 15%	62 11%
Between \$20,001 & \$40,000	158 20%	16 15%	23 21%	17 20%	87 21%	58 16%	97 23%	44 14%	87 25%	13 20%	58 16%	79 26%	43 18%	92 23%	94 20%	43 19%	24 20%	105 19%
Between \$40,001 & \$60,000	126 16%	13 12%	14 13%	14 16%	71 17%	57 16%	69 16%	45 15%	61 18%	10 16%	65 18%	47 16%	34 14%	66 16%	72 15%	41 18%	22 18%	90 17%
Between \$60,001 & \$75,000	81 10%	11 10%	12 11%	9 11%	45 11%	40 11%	41 10%	38 12%	25 7%	7 11%	36 10%	27 9%	36 15%	34 8%	53 11%	25 11%	10 8%	60 11%
Over \$75,000	197 25%	34 32%	29 26%	13 15%	107 26%	112 31%	84 20%	94 30%	70 20%	15 23%	109 31%	56 19%	73 30%	97 24%	106 22%	76 33%	28 24%	135 25%
DK/Refused DNR	144 18%	20 19%	22 20%	13 15%	62 15%	65 18%	77 18%	54 17%	57 17%	10 16%	63 18%	45 15%	38 16%	62 15%	90 19%	22 10%	17 14%	90 17%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 062
13. What is your annual family income? Is it (READ LIST)

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<===SCHOOL FIN===>		<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>									
		<===PROP TAXES=> YES	NO	<===SPENDING===> SUPPORT	OPPOSE	<=LEGISLATION= FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+	
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%					
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Under \$20,000	94 12%	43 11%	28 11%	51 11%	26 11%	24 10%	52 11%	20 7%	44 16%	25 13%	43 11%	15 9%	28 12%	34 13%	14 15%	94 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between \$20,001 & \$40,000	158 20%	74 18%	60 23%	84 18%	51 22%	46 19%	95 21%	40 15%	68 25%	39 21%	69 18%	25 15%	44 19%	57 22%	23 24%	-	158 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between \$40,001 & \$60,000	126 16%	67 17%	38 15%	69 15%	50 22%	44 18%	70 15%	44 16%	42 15%	34 18%	61 16%	31 19%	30 13%	41 15%	19 20%	-	-	126 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between \$60,001 & \$75,000	81 10%	41 10%	32 12%	54 12%	16 7%	25 10%	47 10%	38 14%	23 8%	15 8%	47 12%	15 9%	32 14%	26 10%	6 6%	-	-	-	-	81 100%	-	-	-	-	-
Over \$75,000	197 25%	114 28%	63 24%	127 27%	51 22%	68 28%	110 24%	84 31%	59 21%	45 24%	108 28%	48 29%	60 27%	63 24%	22 23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	197 100%	-
DK/Refused DNR	144 18%	66 16%	40 15%	82 18%	37 16%	32 13%	82 18%	43 16%	39 14%	28 15%	61 16%	29 18%	32 14%	44 17%	12 13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 063
13. What is your annual family income? Is it (READ LIST)

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
			HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE	
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Under \$20,000	94 12%	61 9%	32 33%	15 13%	16 21%	1 13%	59 11%	-	5 11%	9 6%	15 7%	21 13%	39 21%	39 10%	55 13%
Between \$20,001 & \$40,000	158 20%	123 19%	29 30%	24 21%	26 34%	-	103 18%	1 7%	17 39%	29 20%	39 17%	23 15%	49 26%	76 20%	82 20%
Between \$40,001 & \$60,000	126 16%	111 17%	12 13%	18 15%	9 12%	1 13%	91 16%	6 40%	6 14%	32 22%	35 15%	26 17%	25 13%	57 15%	69 17%
Between \$60,001 & \$75,000	81 10%	75 11%	6 6%	11 9%	6 8%	1 13%	62 11%	-	4 9%	20 14%	25 11%	17 11%	14 7%	37 10%	44 11%
Over \$75,000	197 25%	188 28%	9 9%	32 27%	11 14%	3 38%	145 26%	6 40%	5 11%	45 31%	85 37%	41 26%	18 9%	105 27%	92 22%
DK/Refused DNR	144 18%	102 15%	8 8%	17 15%	9 12%	2 25%	97 17%	2 13%	7 16%	12 8%	30 13%	28 18%	45 24%	72 19%	72 17%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 064
13. What is your annual family income? Is it (READ LIST)

	TOTAL	<-----MEDIA MARKET----->									<-----GEOGRAPHIC BREAK----->						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Under \$20,000	94 12%	22 15%	15 10%	9 12%	2 4%	9 13%	18 19%	-	10 16%	9 10%	23 15%	17 11%	22 15%	13 7%	9 13%	10 16%	-
Between \$20,001 & \$40,000	158 20%	21 14%	31 20%	12 16%	3 6%	14 21%	26 28%	21 36%	14 22%	16 17%	40 25%	27 17%	21 14%	31 17%	14 21%	14 22%	11 39%
Between \$40,001 & \$60,000	126 16%	19 13%	22 14%	15 21%	9 17%	13 19%	18 19%	8 14%	10 16%	12 13%	26 16%	22 14%	19 13%	32 18%	13 19%	10 16%	4 14%
Between \$60,001 & \$75,000	81 10%	14 10%	10 7%	9 12%	6 12%	2 3%	10 11%	7 12%	10 16%	13 14%	20 13%	12 8%	14 10%	19 11%	2 3%	10 16%	4 14%
Over \$75,000	197 25%	45 31%	45 30%	16 22%	18 35%	15 22%	12 13%	8 14%	11 17%	27 29%	28 18%	49 31%	45 31%	45 25%	15 22%	11 17%	4 14%
DK/Refused DNR	144 18%	26 18%	29 19%	12 16%	14 27%	14 21%	10 11%	15 25%	8 13%	16 17%	21 13%	30 19%	26 18%	40 22%	14 21%	8 13%	5 18%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 065
13. What is your annual family income? Is it (READ LIST)

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENENT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENENT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Under \$20,000	94 12%	14 7%	6 14%	-	16 23%	16 12%	10 16%	10 13%	11 14%	4 15%	8 6%	12 9%	14 12%	30 19%	16 16%	9 10%
Between \$20,001 & \$40,000	158 20%	31 15%	6 14%	2 40%	17 25%	34 25%	14 23%	17 22%	15 20%	6 23%	19 14%	21 16%	35 29%	33 21%	19 19%	20 23%
Between \$40,001 & \$60,000	126 16%	37 18%	6 14%	-	8 12%	20 15%	13 21%	13 16%	14 18%	6 23%	20 15%	24 18%	18 15%	24 15%	16 16%	18 21%
Between \$60,001 & \$75,000	81 10%	30 14%	5 12%	1 20%	7 10%	13 9%	3 5%	8 10%	6 8%	1 4%	20 15%	18 13%	9 8%	14 9%	8 8%	7 8%
Over \$75,000	197 25%	71 34%	11 26%	-	9 13%	33 24%	17 28%	21 27%	18 24%	5 19%	44 33%	40 30%	27 23%	32 21%	30 30%	15 17%
DK/Refused DNR	144 18%	28 13%	8 19%	2 40%	12 17%	21 15%	4 7%	10 13%	12 16%	4 15%	23 17%	20 15%	17 14%	22 14%	11 11%	17 20%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 066

14. In your current place of residence, do you own your home or do you rent?

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID====>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>				<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>		
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===BUSINESS===>		<=CUT PROP TAX>		<=PLAN CUT PRP>		<===WILL PERSONALLY===>				FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE	
	ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE							
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Own home	660 83%	85 80%	98 88%	60 71%	358 86%	321 88%	334 78%	273 88%	266 78%	55 86%	310 87%	242 80%	202 83%	338 84%	407 85%	185 81%	92 77%	460 85%
Rent	96 12%	17 16%	7 6%	17 20%	44 11%	31 8%	62 15%	24 8%	54 16%	8 13%	32 9%	43 14%	31 13%	46 11%	47 10%	36 16%	20 17%	62 11%
Neither	11 1%	-	2 2%	3 4%	5 1%	5 1%	6 1%	3 1%	5 1%	1 2%	3 1%	6 2%	2 1%	7 2%	6 1%	1 *	2 2%	5 1%
DK/Refused DNR	33 4%	4 4%	4 4%	5 6%	9 2%	8 2%	25 6%	10 3%	17 5%	-	11 3%	11 4%	9 4%	10 2%	19 4%	7 3%	5 4%	15 3%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 067

14. In your current place of residence, do you own your home or do you rent?

	TOTAL	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>								
	COMPLTE	<==PROP TAXES=>	NO	<==SPENDING==>	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%				
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Own home	660 83%	349 86%	212 81%	389 83%	194 84%	184 77%	395 87%	238 88%	219 80%	161 87%	348 89%	148 91%	200 88%	222 84%	65 68%	61 65%	123 78%	111 88%	75 93%	188 95%				
Rent	96 12%	45 11%	35 13%	57 12%	26 11%	39 16%	48 11%	22 8%	48 17%	19 10%	32 8%	12 7%	20 9%	36 14%	25 26%	32 34%	29 18%	12 10%	6 7%	9 5%				
Neither	11 1%	4 1%	2 1%	6 1%	1 *	6 3%	2 *	5 2%	5 2%	-	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%	3 3%	1 1%	5 3%	1 1%	-	-				
DK/Refused DNR	33 4%	7 2%	12 5%	15 3%	10 4%	10 4%	11 2%	4 1%	3 1%	6 3%	6 2%	2 1%	4 2%	5 2%	3 3%	-	1 1%	2 2%	-	-				

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 068

14. In your current place of residence, do you own your home or do you rent?

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
			HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE	
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Own home	660 83%	660 100%	-	91 78%	54 70%	6 75%	488 88%	14 93%	26 59%	122 83%	196 86%	140 90%	172 91%	305 79%	355 86%
Rent	96 12%	-	96 100%	17 15%	18 23%	2 25%	54 10%	1 7%	14 32%	22 15%	27 12%	14 9%	11 6%	54 14%	42 10%
Neither	11 1%	-	-	3 3%	1 1%	-	6 1%	-	1 2%	3 2%	4 2%	-	2 1%	5 1%	6 1%
DK/Refused DNR	33 4%	-	-	6 5%	4 5%	-	9 2%	-	3 7%	-	2 1%	2 1%	5 3%	22 6%	11 3%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 069
14. In your current place of residence, do you own your home or do you rent?

	TOTAL	-----MEDIA MARKET-----									-----GEOGRAPHIC BREAK-----						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Own home	660 83%	116 79%	126 83%	58 79%	42 81%	52 78%	84 89%	46 78%	56 89%	80 86%	138 87%	134 85%	116 79%	142 79%	52 78%	56 89%	22 79%
Rent	96 12%	23 16%	20 13%	11 15%	6 12%	11 16%	9 10%	6 10%	3 5%	7 8%	13 8%	19 12%	23 16%	24 13%	11 16%	3 5%	3 11%
Neither	11 1%	-	3 2%	1 1%	-	2 3%	-	2 3%	1 2%	2 2%	3 2%	2 1%	-	2 1%	2 3%	1 2%	1 4%
DK/Refused DNR	33 4%	8 5%	3 2%	3 4%	4 8%	2 3%	1 1%	5 8%	3 5%	4 4%	4 3%	2 1%	8 5%	12 7%	2 3%	3 5%	2 7%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 070
14. In your current place of residence, do you own your home or do you rent?

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENENT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENENT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Own home	660 83%	193 91%	35 83%	4 80%	60 87%	117 85%	37 61%	71 90%	65 86%	21 81%	114 85%	124 92%	94 78%	125 81%	83 83%	78 91%
Rent	96 12%	13 6%	7 17%	-	9 13%	18 13%	20 33%	6 8%	8 11%	5 19%	14 10%	8 6%	23 19%	25 16%	12 12%	7 8%
Neither	11 1%	3 1%	-	1 20%	-	2 1%	2 3%	-	-	-	3 2%	2 1%	2 2%	3 2%	-	-
DK/Refused DNR	33 4%	2 1%	-	-	-	-	2 3%	2 3%	3 4%	-	3 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	5 5%	1 1%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 071
15. What is your race?

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID====>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>				<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>		
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===BUSINESS===>				<=CUT PROP TAX>	<=PLAN CUT PRP>	<===WILL PERSONALLY===>		FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE			
	ITSELF	OWNER	EMPYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE							
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic	117 15%	12 11%	14 13%	18 21%	68 16%	46 13%	69 16%	40 13%	58 17%	5 8%	55 15%	48 16%	37 15%	62 15%	74 15%	33 14%	23 19%	78 14%
African-American	77 10%	8 8%	10 9%	14 16%	39 9%	29 8%	48 11%	34 11%	38 11%	5 8%	30 8%	32 11%	15 6%	50 12%	43 9%	24 10%	18 15%	44 8%
Asiatic	8 1%	2 2%	1 1%	2 2%	3 1%	4 1%	4 1%	2 1%	3 1%	-	4 1%	1 *	2 1%	5 1%	4 1%	4 2%	-	7 1%
White	557 70%	80 75%	81 73%	48 56%	289 69%	271 74%	281 66%	223 72%	223 65%	50 78%	248 70%	209 69%	178 73%	270 67%	331 69%	160 70%	72 61%	390 72%
Other (specify)	15 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	9 2%	8 2%	7 2%	6 2%	5 1%	3 5%	7 2%	3 1%	6 2%	5 1%	10 2%	3 1%	3 3%	9 2%
Refused	26 3%	3 3%	4 4%	2 2%	8 2%	7 2%	18 4%	5 2%	15 4%	1 2%	12 3%	9 3%	6 2%	9 2%	17 4%	5 2%	3 3%	14 3%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 072
15. What is your race?

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==>		<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>									
		<==PROP TAXES=> YES	NO	<==SPENDING==> SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+	
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%					
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic	117 15%	69 17%	35 13%	62 13%	46 20%	46 19%	63 14%	30 11%	49 18%	29 16%	45 12%	16 10%	29 13%	42 16%	24 25%	15 16%	24 15%	18 14%	11 14%	32 16%					
African-American	77 10%	31 8%	33 13%	46 10%	20 9%	31 13%	37 8%	13 5%	46 17%	14 8%	26 7%	8 5%	18 8%	34 13%	12 13%	16 17%	26 16%	9 7%	6 7%	11 6%					
Asiatic	8 1%	2 *	5 2%	3 1%	1 *	3 1%	2 *	4 1%	1 *	3 2%	5 1%	-	5 2%	2 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	3 2%					
White	557 70%	280 69%	178 68%	331 71%	157 68%	148 62%	335 73%	211 78%	174 63%	131 70%	298 77%	132 81%	166 73%	177 67%	55 57%	59 63%	103 65%	91 72%	62 77%	145 74%					
Other (specify)	15 2%	12 3%	3 1%	10 2%	4 2%	3 1%	12 3%	6 2%	2 1%	4 2%	9 2%	5 3%	4 2%	4 2%	1 1%	-	1 1%	6 5%	-	6 3%					
Refused	26 3%	11 3%	7 3%	15 3%	3 1%	8 3%	7 2%	5 2%	3 1%	5 3%	6 2%	2 1%	4 2%	6 2%	4 4%	3 3%	4 3%	1 1%	1 1%	-					

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 073
15. What is your race?

	TOTAL	<OWN/RENT	HOME>	<=====RACE=====					<=====AGE=====					<=====GENDER=====	
	COMPLTE	OWN	RENT	HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800	660	96	117	77	8	557	15	44	147	229	156	190	386	414
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic	117	91	17	117	-	-	-	-	12	41	24	18	17	62	55
	15%	14%	18%	100%					27%	28%	10%	12%	9%	16%	13%
African-American	77	54	18	-	77	-	-	-	6	17	25	10	17	35	42
	10%	8%	19%		100%				14%	12%	11%	6%	9%	9%	10%
Asiatic	8	6	2	-	-	8	-	-	1	5	-	-	2	3	5
	1%	1%	2%			100%			2%	3%			1%	1%	1%
White	557	488	54	-	-	-	557	-	22	81	172	125	148	255	302
	70%	74%	56%				100%		50%	55%	75%	80%	78%	66%	73%
Other (specify)	15	14	1	-	-	-	-	15	3	2	5	3	2	11	4
	2%	2%	1%					100%	7%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%
Refused	26	7	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	4	20	6
	3%	1%	4%							1%	1%		2%	5%	1%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 074
15. What is your race?

	TOTAL	<-----MEDIA MARKET----->									<-----GEOGRAPHIC BREAK----->						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic	117 15%	25 17%	20 13%	10 14%	6 12%	22 33%	6 6%	12 20%	6 10%	10 11%	15 9%	15 10%	25 17%	25 14%	22 33%	6 10%	9 32%
African-American	77 10%	21 14%	18 12%	8 11%	2 4%	6 9%	4 4%	1 2%	6 10%	11 12%	9 6%	22 14%	21 14%	12 7%	6 9%	6 10%	1 4%
Asiatic	8 1%	-	3 2%	-	-	-	2 2%	2 3%	-	1 1%	2 1%	4 3%	-	2 1%	-	-	-
White	557 70%	94 64%	101 66%	53 73%	40 77%	38 57%	78 83%	33 56%	50 79%	70 75%	126 80%	110 70%	94 64%	125 69%	38 57%	50 79%	14 50%
Other (specify)	15 2%	4 3%	5 3%	1 1%	2 4%	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%	2 1%	4 3%	4 3%	5 3%	-	-	-
Refused	26 3%	3 2%	5 3%	1 1%	2 4%	1 1%	3 3%	10 17%	1 2%	-	4 3%	2 1%	3 2%	11 6%	1 1%	1 2%	4 14%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 075
15. What is your race?

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENENT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENENT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic	117 15%	24 11%	3 7%	1 20%	9 13%	25 18%	15 25%	10 13%	11 14%	8 31%	17 13%	13 10%	22 18%	27 17%	17 17%	12 14%
African-American	77 10%	9 4%	2 5%	-	10 14%	24 18%	10 16%	7 9%	6 8%	1 4%	9 7%	4 3%	17 14%	29 19%	8 8%	6 7%
Asiatic	8 1%	3 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	2 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%
White	557 70%	167 79%	35 83%	4 80%	49 71%	85 62%	34 56%	59 75%	53 70%	16 62%	98 73%	113 84%	77 64%	97 63%	66 66%	65 76%
Other (specify)	15 2%	5 2%	1 2%	-	-	2 1%	-	2 3%	-	1 4%	5 4%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	4 4%	-
Refused	26 3%	3 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	2 3%	-	5 7%	-	3 2%	2 1%	3 3%	-	4 4%	1 1%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 076
16. AGE PRE-CODE FROM FILE

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID====>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>				<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>		
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<==BUSINESS==>				<=CUT PROP TAX>	<=PLAN CUT PRP>	<==WILL PERSONALLY==>				FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE	
	ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	STEP	FAIR	SPNDING	CUT	ALREADY	NEW	PUNITVE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18-25	44 6%	4 4%	8 7%	11 13%	18 4%	10 3%	34 8%	14 5%	23 7%	3 5%	12 3%	24 8%	13 5%	26 6%	26 5%	11 5%	12 10%	24 4%
26-40	147 18%	14 13%	28 25%	25 29%	67 16%	52 14%	94 22%	50 16%	63 18%	8 13%	74 21%	51 17%	57 23%	62 15%	84 18%	48 21%	18 15%	109 20%
41-55	229 29%	44 42%	21 19%	25 29%	122 29%	119 33%	108 25%	107 35%	85 25%	19 30%	122 34%	75 25%	77 32%	116 29%	131 27%	70 31%	39 33%	158 29%
56-65	156 20%	18 17%	24 22%	9 11%	90 22%	88 24%	66 15%	66 21%	63 18%	16 25%	75 21%	48 16%	37 15%	94 23%	111 23%	36 16%	23 19%	107 20%
Over 65	190 24%	20 19%	22 20%	10 12%	114 27%	89 24%	99 23%	63 20%	90 26%	16 25%	60 17%	91 30%	52 21%	88 22%	110 23%	52 23%	21 18%	128 24%
Refused	34 4%	6 6%	8 7%	5 6%	5 1%	7 2%	26 6%	10 3%	18 5%	2 3%	13 4%	13 4%	8 3%	15 4%	17 4%	12 5%	6 5%	16 3%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 077
16. AGE PRE-CODE FROM FILE

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<===SCHOOL FIN===>		<=====PARTY=====			<=====IDEOLOGY=====					<=====INCOME=====						
		<===PROP TAXES=> YES	NO	<===SPENDING===> SUPPORT	OPPOSE	<=LEGISLATION=> FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%		
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
18-25	44 6%	27 7%	12 5%	30 6%	9 4%	17 7%	24 5%	11 4%	11 4%	14 8%	14 4%	5 3%	9 4%	14 5%	14 15%	5 5%	17 11%	6 5%	4 5%	5 3%		
26-40	147 18%	81 20%	44 17%	90 19%	41 18%	53 22%	77 17%	50 19%	49 18%	41 22%	71 18%	24 15%	47 21%	50 19%	21 22%	9 10%	29 18%	32 25%	20 25%	45 23%		
41-55	229 29%	120 30%	84 32%	136 29%	73 32%	69 29%	132 29%	88 33%	77 28%	47 25%	116 30%	53 33%	63 28%	83 31%	22 23%	15 16%	39 25%	35 28%	25 31%	85 43%		
56-65	156 20%	85 21%	45 17%	101 22%	31 13%	43 18%	93 20%	61 23%	50 18%	36 19%	81 21%	31 19%	50 22%	49 18%	16 17%	21 22%	23 15%	26 21%	17 21%	41 21%		
Over 65	190 24%	81 20%	68 26%	93 20%	68 29%	44 18%	122 27%	55 20%	82 30%	42 23%	104 27%	47 29%	57 25%	62 23%	17 18%	39 41%	49 31%	25 20%	14 17%	18 9%		
Refused	34 4%	11 3%	8 3%	17 4%	9 4%	13 5%	8 2%	4 1%	6 2%	6 3%	3 1%	3 2%	-	7 3%	6 6%	5 5%	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	3 2%		

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 078
16. AGE PRE-CODE FROM FILE

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
			HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE	
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18-25	44 6%	26 4%	14 15%	12 10%	6 8%	1 13%	22 4%	3 20%	44 100%	-	-	-	-	21 5%	23 6%
26-40	147 18%	122 18%	22 23%	41 35%	17 22%	5 63%	81 15%	2 13%	-	147 100%	-	-	-	64 17%	83 20%
41-55	229 29%	196 30%	27 28%	24 21%	25 32%	-	172 31%	5 33%	-	-	229 100%	-	-	116 30%	113 27%
56-65	156 20%	140 21%	14 15%	18 15%	10 13%	-	125 22%	3 20%	-	-	-	156 100%	-	69 18%	87 21%
Over 65	190 24%	172 26%	11 11%	17 15%	17 22%	2 25%	148 27%	2 13%	-	-	-	-	190 100%	93 24%	97 23%
Refused	34 4%	4 1%	8 8%	5 4%	2 3%	-	9 2%	-	-	-	-	-	23 6%	11 3%	

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 079
16. AGE PRE-CODE FROM FILE

	TOTAL	<-----MEDIA MARKET----->									<-----GEOGRAPHIC BREAK----->						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18-25	44 6%	8 5%	10 7%	2 3%	3 6%	8 12%	5 5%	1 2%	1 2%	6 6%	10 6%	7 4%	8 5%	9 5%	8 12%	1 2%	1 4%
26-40	147 18%	37 25%	31 20%	14 19%	5 10%	10 15%	17 18%	13 22%	11 17%	9 10%	24 15%	30 19%	37 25%	29 16%	10 15%	11 17%	6 21%
41-55	229 29%	38 26%	50 33%	22 30%	22 42%	21 31%	26 28%	13 22%	13 21%	24 26%	49 31%	45 29%	38 26%	56 31%	21 31%	13 21%	7 25%
56-65	156 20%	32 22%	28 18%	18 25%	12 23%	14 21%	15 16%	4 7%	14 22%	19 20%	29 18%	28 18%	32 22%	37 21%	14 21%	14 22%	2 7%
Over 65	190 24%	26 18%	29 19%	15 21%	7 13%	14 21%	27 29%	22 37%	22 35%	28 30%	39 25%	41 26%	26 18%	37 21%	14 21%	22 35%	11 39%
Refused	34 4%	6 4%	4 3%	2 3%	3 6%	-	4 4%	6 10%	2 3%	7 8%	7 4%	6 4%	6 4%	12 7%	-	2 3%	1 4%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 080
16. AGE PRE-CODE FROM FILE

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENENT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENENT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18-25	44 6%	7 3%	4 10%	-	1 1%	4 3%	6 10%	4 5%	2 3%	7 27%	8 6%	3 2%	3 3%	8 5%	8 8%	6 7%
26-40	147 18%	38 18%	10 24%	1 20%	8 12%	29 21%	12 20%	22 28%	10 13%	8 31%	26 19%	24 18%	14 12%	35 23%	20 20%	21 24%
41-55	229 29%	74 35%	9 21%	2 40%	17 25%	42 31%	15 25%	13 16%	29 38%	4 15%	49 37%	39 29%	38 32%	39 25%	24 24%	23 27%
56-65	156 20%	46 22%	11 26%	-	11 16%	26 19%	12 20%	21 27%	10 13%	4 15%	23 17%	38 28%	22 18%	28 18%	20 20%	16 19%
Over 65	190 24%	45 21%	7 17%	2 40%	32 46%	35 26%	11 18%	18 23%	20 26%	3 12%	25 19%	30 22%	40 33%	42 27%	24 24%	18 21%
Refused	34 4%	1 *	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	5 8%	1 1%	5 7%	-	3 2%	1 1%	3 3%	3 2%	4 4%	2 2%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 081
17. Gender BY OBSERVATION/PRE-CODE

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID====>					<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>				<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>		
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===BUSINESS===> ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	<=CUT PROP TAX> INFRMED	UNINFRM	<=PLAN CUT PRP> FAVOR	OPPOSE	<===WILL PERSONALLY===> PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Male	386 48%	60 57%	46 41%	44 52%	202 49%	188 52%	192 45%	160 52%	167 49%	34 53%	182 51%	138 46%	125 51%	192 48%	228 48%	120 52%	64 54%	260 48%
Female	414 52%	46 43%	65 59%	41 48%	214 51%	177 48%	235 55%	150 48%	175 51%	30 47%	174 49%	164 54%	119 49%	209 52%	251 52%	109 48%	55 46%	282 52%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 082
17. Gender BY OBSERVATION/PRE-CODE

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===ROLLBACK===> <==PROP TAXES=>		<===INCREASE===> <===SPENDING===>		<==SCHOOL FIN==> <=LEGISLATION=>		<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>									
		YES	NO	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+	
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%					
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Male	386 48%	188 46%	142 54%	239 51%	108 47%	110 46%	236 52%	134 50%	120 44%	100 54%	191 49%	79 48%	112 50%	119 45%	49 51%	39 41%	76 48%	57 45%	37 46%	105 53%					
Female	414 52%	217 54%	119 46%	228 49%	123 53%	129 54%	220 48%	135 50%	155 56%	86 46%	198 51%	84 52%	114 50%	146 55%	47 49%	55 59%	82 52%	69 55%	44 54%	92 47%					

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 083
17. Gender BY OBSERVATION/PRE-CODE

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
			HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE	
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Male	386 48%	305 46%	54 56%	62 53%	35 45%	3 38%	255 46%	11 73%	21 48%	64 44%	116 51%	69 44%	93 49%	386 100%	-
Female	414 52%	355 54%	42 44%	55 47%	42 55%	5 63%	302 54%	4 27%	23 52%	83 56%	113 49%	87 56%	97 51%	-	414 100%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 084
17. Gender BY OBSERVATION/PRE-CODE

	TOTAL	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>									<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Male	386 48%	78 53%	77 51%	38 52%	25 48%	31 46%	33 35%	29 49%	31 49%	44 47%	61 39%	81 52%	78 53%	95 53%	31 46%	31 49%	9 32%
Female	414 52%	69 47%	75 49%	35 48%	27 52%	36 54%	61 65%	30 51%	32 51%	49 53%	97 61%	76 48%	69 47%	85 47%	36 54%	32 51%	19 68%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 085
17. Gender BY OBSERVATION/PRE-CODE

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENEDNT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENEDNT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Male	386 48%	104 49%	20 48%	3 60%	30 43%	55 40%	32 52%	46 58%	38 50%	12 46%	134 100%	-	120 100%	-	100 100%	-
Female	414 52%	107 51%	22 52%	2 40%	39 57%	82 60%	29 48%	33 42%	38 50%	14 54%	-	135 100%	-	155 100%	-	86 100%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 086
18. CD

	TOTAL COMPLETE	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID==> <===BUSINESS===>				<=CUT PROP TAX>		<SEEN/READ/HRD> <=PLAN CUT PRP>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT> <===WILL PERSONALLY===>			<FRANCHISE TAX> FIRST ONLY		<CRITCS CUT	PRP TX ALREADY CUT	<ANOTHER NEW TAX	<OPTION> PUNITVE DAMAGE
		ITSELF	OWNER	EMPYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	STEP	FAIR	SPNDING			
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
01	30 4%	2 2%	9 8%	1 1%	16 4%	11 3%	19 4%	10 3%	15 4%	1 2%	9 3%	18 6%	5 2%	20 5%	19 4%	10 4%	5 4%	20 4%
02	33 4%	9 8%	5 5%	2 2%	16 4%	15 4%	18 4%	13 4%	13 4%	3 5%	16 4%	13 4%	7 3%	20 5%	25 5%	6 3%	3 3%	26 5%
03	25 3%	1 1%	7 6%	2 2%	10 2%	14 4%	11 3%	15 5%	7 2%	1 2%	9 3%	11 4%	7 3%	8 2%	8 2%	10 4%	3 3%	18 3%
04	34 4%	5 5%	4 4%	4 5%	17 4%	10 3%	24 6%	10 3%	19 6%	-	11 3%	19 6%	8 3%	15 4%	22 5%	10 4%	3 3%	24 4%
05	30 4%	5 5%	2 2%	4 5%	17 4%	15 4%	15 4%	14 5%	11 3%	1 2%	19 5%	9 3%	7 3%	18 4%	18 4%	11 5%	2 2%	24 4%
06	32 4%	2 2%	7 6%	2 2%	17 4%	13 4%	19 4%	3 1%	20 6%	1 2%	9 3%	21 7%	13 5%	14 3%	21 4%	5 2%	2 2%	24 4%
07	21 3%	5 5%	1 1%	4 5%	10 2%	8 2%	13 3%	9 3%	7 2%	2 3%	11 3%	4 1%	11 5%	8 2%	9 2%	10 4%	3 3%	17 3%
08	28 4%	6 6%	5 5%	2 2%	13 3%	13 4%	15 4%	13 4%	5 1%	2 3%	13 4%	8 3%	6 2%	16 4%	12 3%	13 6%	3 3%	18 3%
09	14 2%	-	1 1%	2 2%	10 2%	6 2%	8 2%	2 1%	7 2%	1 2%	7 2%	6 2%	5 2%	5 1%	6 1%	6 3%	1 1%	10 2%
10	29 4%	5 5%	6 5%	5 6%	11 3%	16 4%	13 3%	10 3%	12 4%	1 2%	13 4%	12 4%	10 4%	16 4%	21 4%	5 2%	6 5%	21 4%
11	31 4%	5 5%	8 7%	2 2%	12 3%	14 4%	17 4%	9 3%	17 5%	4 6%	8 2%	16 5%	13 5%	14 3%	22 5%	2 1%	3 3%	24 4%
12	33 4%	3 3%	2 2%	9 11%	18 4%	10 3%	23 5%	8 3%	11 3%	2 3%	14 4%	12 4%	11 5%	10 2%	24 5%	7 3%	4 3%	23 4%
13	32 4%	7 7%	5 5%	2 2%	15 4%	16 4%	16 4%	18 6%	13 4%	6 9%	9 3%	14 5%	9 4%	15 4%	19 4%	10 4%	6 5%	20 4%
14	32 4%	2 2%	4 4%	4 5%	17 4%	10 3%	21 5%	9 3%	16 5%	2 3%	20 6%	7 2%	14 6%	10 2%	19 4%	8 3%	5 4%	16 3%
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 086 (continued)
18. CD

	TOTAL	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID==> <===BUSINESS===>				<=CUT PROP TAX>		<SEEN/READ/HRD> <=PLAN CUT PRP>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT> <===WILL PERSONALLY===>			<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS CUT SPNDING>	PRP TX ALREADY CUT	<ANOTHER OPTION> NEW TAX	PUNITIVE DAMAGE
	COMPLTE	ITSELF	OWNER	EMPYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR				
16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	35 4%	4 4%	3 3%	5 6%	16 4%	18 5%	17 4%	13 4%	15 4%	3 5%	11 3%	16 5%	15 6%	15 4%	16 3%	13 6%	7 6%	21 4%
18	24 3%	5 5%	-	4 5%	12 3%	10 3%	13 3%	8 3%	14 4%	3 5%	13 4%	7 2%	7 3%	11 3%	19 4%	4 2%	6 5%	14 3%
19	31 4%	5 5%	7 6%	2 2%	15 4%	17 5%	14 3%	14 5%	14 4%	4 6%	15 4%	9 3%	8 3%	18 4%	20 4%	11 5%	4 3%	20 4%
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	52 7%	4 4%	9 8%	5 6%	25 6%	29 8%	23 5%	25 8%	20 6%	6 9%	26 7%	19 6%	10 4%	31 8%	26 5%	24 10%	12 10%	37 7%
22	25 3%	3 3%	4 4%	2 2%	13 3%	11 3%	13 3%	10 3%	11 3%	2 3%	10 3%	10 3%	7 3%	13 3%	18 4%	6 3%	2 2%	17 3%
23	28 4%	1 1%	4 4%	1 1%	20 5%	11 3%	17 4%	12 4%	10 3%	1 2%	13 4%	11 4%	7 3%	15 4%	17 4%	8 3%	2 2%	21 4%
24	21 3%	3 3%	2 2%	2 2%	13 3%	13 4%	8 2%	8 3%	10 3%	-	13 4%	5 2%	10 4%	9 2%	12 3%	3 1%	-	12 2%
25	23 3%	3 3%	2 2%	4 5%	11 3%	11 3%	12 3%	11 4%	9 3%	4 6%	10 3%	7 2%	8 3%	9 2%	14 3%	5 2%	7 6%	10 2%
26	28 4%	-	4 4%	5 6%	14 3%	13 4%	15 4%	12 4%	11 3%	2 3%	11 3%	12 4%	9 4%	16 4%	22 5%	6 3%	7 6%	19 4%
27	22 3%	3 3%	4 4%	-	14 3%	10 3%	11 3%	8 3%	8 2%	2 3%	12 3%	3 1%	7 3%	14 3%	15 3%	4 2%	3 3%	16 3%
28	22 3%	5 5%	2 2%	2 2%	11 3%	9 2%	12 3%	9 3%	9 3%	1 2%	12 3%	6 2%	3 1%	16 4%	10 2%	11 5%	2 2%	16 3%
29	7 1%	4 4%	1 1%	-	1 *	5 1%	2 *	3 1%	3 1%	1 2%	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	5 1%
30	21 3%	2 2%	-	2 2%	15 4%	9 2%	10 2%	9 3%	9 3%	2 3%	10 3%	6 2%	7 3%	11 3%	11 2%	5 2%	7 6%	10 2%
31	38 5%	6 6%	1 1%	4 5%	25 6%	19 5%	19 4%	17 5%	18 5%	4 6%	20 6%	12 4%	10 4%	23 6%	17 4%	12 5%	5 4%	28 5%
32	19 2%	1 1%	2 2%	2 2%	12 3%	9 2%	9 2%	8 3%	8 2%	2 3%	8 2%	7 2%	8 3%	8 2%	14 3%	2 1%	5 4%	11 2%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 087
18. CD

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===ROLLBACK===> <===PROP TAXES===>		<===INCREASE===> <===SPENDING===>		<===SCHOOL FIN===> <===LEGISLATION===>		<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>									
		YES	NO	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCR	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+	
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%					
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
01	30 4%	12 3%	11 4%	16 3%	12 5%	11 5%	13 3%	10 4%	13 5%	7 4%	18 5%	10 6%	8 4%	10 4%	2 2%	5 5%	9 6%	7 6%	3 4%	4 2%					
02	33 4%	15 4%	12 5%	20 4%	9 4%	12 5%	19 4%	12 4%	11 4%	9 5%	15 4%	7 4%	8 4%	14 5%	4 4%	4 4%	6 4%	3 2%	2 2%	11 6%					
03	25 3%	13 3%	7 3%	19 4%	3 1%	6 3%	16 4%	6 2%	5 2%	7 4%	16 4%	5 3%	11 5%	7 3%	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	2 2%	3 4%	8 4%					
04	34 4%	15 4%	13 5%	17 4%	12 5%	10 4%	19 4%	11 4%	11 4%	9 5%	17 4%	7 4%	10 4%	12 5%	4 4%	8 9%	7 4%	7 6%	5 6%	3 2%					
05	30 4%	17 4%	9 3%	18 4%	6 3%	7 3%	19 4%	7 3%	10 4%	9 5%	19 5%	10 6%	9 4%	8 3%	1 1%	5 5%	10 6%	4 3%	2 2%	5 3%					
06	32 4%	19 5%	8 3%	19 4%	9 4%	8 3%	21 5%	15 6%	9 3%	3 2%	18 5%	8 5%	10 4%	10 4%	-	2 2%	8 5%	4 3%	8 10%	6 3%					
07	21 3%	11 3%	8 3%	12 3%	6 3%	5 2%	14 3%	6 2%	12 4%	3 2%	9 2%	6 4%	3 1%	7 3%	4 4%	2 2%	6 4%	2 2%	1 1%	8 4%					
08	28 4%	13 3%	11 4%	13 3%	7 3%	6 3%	16 4%	12 4%	8 3%	5 3%	18 5%	3 2%	15 7%	6 2%	2 2%	3 3%	2 1%	5 4%	3 4%	10 5%					
09	14 2%	5 1%	7 3%	9 2%	5 2%	3 1%	8 2%	4 1%	4 1%	6 3%	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	6 2%	3 3%	3 3%	1 1%	2 2%	1 1%	3 2%					
10	29 4%	13 3%	9 3%	18 4%	8 3%	15 6%	11 2%	12 4%	9 3%	6 3%	14 4%	7 4%	7 3%	9 3%	4 4%	2 2%	6 4%	5 4%	3 4%	7 4%					
11	31 4%	12 3%	13 5%	16 3%	11 5%	6 3%	18 4%	13 5%	8 3%	6 3%	20 5%	10 6%	10 4%	4 2%	4 4%	-	10 6%	4 3%	3 4%	4 2%					
12	33 4%	10 2%	12 5%	16 3%	6 3%	5 2%	20 4%	15 6%	9 3%	3 2%	21 5%	9 6%	12 5%	3 1%	2 2%	5 5%	6 4%	5 4%	4 5%	8 4%					
13	32 4%	14 3%	11 4%	15 3%	15 6%	7 3%	23 5%	11 4%	8 3%	10 5%	20 5%	7 4%	13 6%	6 2%	4 4%	4 4%	6 4%	7 6%	5 9%	3 5%					
14	32 4%	15 4%	10 4%	23 5%	6 3%	8 3%	21 5%	8 3%	9 3%	10 5%	15 4%	6 4%	9 4%	11 4%	4 4%	3 3%	6 4%	4 3%	2 2%	10 5%					
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 087 (continued)
18. CD

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<===SCHOOL FIN===>		<=====PARTY=====>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>						<=====INCOME=====>						
		<===PROP TAXES===> YES	NO	<===SPENDING===> SUPPORT	OPPOSE	<=LEGISLATION=> FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCR	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K
16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	35 4%	17 4%	11 4%	16 3%	12 5%	9 4%	23 5%	10 4%	15 5%	8 4%	14 4%	7 4%	7 3%	16 6%	3 3%	6 6%	5 3%	7 6%	6 7%	8 4%			
18	24 3%	14 3%	9 3%	18 4%	5 2%	11 5%	11 2%	7 3%	7 3%	6 3%	7 2%	4 2%	3 1%	11 4%	6 6%	2 2%	6 4%	4 3%	1 1%	7 4%			
19	31 4%	19 5%	10 4%	14 3%	13 6%	11 5%	15 3%	15 6%	8 3%	5 3%	19 5%	11 7%	8 4%	9 3%	2 2%	6 6%	8 5%	3 2%	3 4%	6 3%			
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	52 7%	30 7%	14 5%	30 6%	18 8%	12 5%	32 7%	14 5%	22 8%	13 7%	16 4%	3 2%	13 6%	25 9%	7 7%	2 2%	3 2%	9 7%	6 7%	18 9%			
22	25 3%	14 3%	8 3%	17 4%	5 2%	7 3%	17 4%	9 3%	7 3%	8 4%	18 5%	7 4%	11 5%	5 2%	-	2 2%	4 3%	5 4%	2 2%	9 5%			
23	28 4%	16 4%	10 4%	20 4%	5 2%	8 3%	16 4%	10 4%	7 3%	8 4%	13 3%	6 4%	7 3%	9 3%	4 4%	-	11 7%	4 3%	4 5%	4 2%			
24	21 3%	12 3%	5 2%	17 4%	3 1%	5 2%	15 3%	8 3%	9 3%	2 1%	9 2%	6 4%	3 1%	8 3%	2 2%	2 2%	2 1%	2 2%	2 2%	9 5%			
25	23 3%	13 3%	7 3%	15 3%	6 3%	14 6%	6 1%	3 1%	11 4%	6 3%	9 2%	2 1%	7 3%	8 3%	6 6%	4 4%	4 3%	3 2%	-	5 3%			
26	28 4%	18 4%	8 3%	19 4%	6 3%	8 3%	15 3%	7 3%	12 4%	7 4%	12 3%	1 1%	11 5%	10 4%	5 5%	9 10%	4 3%	4 3%	3 4%	6 3%			
27	22 3%	13 3%	5 2%	11 2%	8 3%	2 1%	15 3%	9 3%	7 3%	6 3%	7 2%	4 2%	3 1%	11 4%	2 2%	4 4%	4 3%	5 4%	-	6 3%			
28	22 3%	14 3%	6 2%	13 3%	7 3%	12 5%	6 1%	5 2%	10 4%	7 4%	6 2%	3 2%	3 1%	10 4%	6 6%	1 1%	6 4%	5 4%	2 4%	4 2%			
29	7 1%	3 1%	1 *	5 1%	1 *	3 1%	4 1%	2 1%	4 1%	-	1 *	-	1 *	4 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	-	1 1%			
30	21 3%	8 2%	10 4%	9 2%	6 3%	9 4%	10 2%	8 3%	8 3%	4 2%	9 2%	5 3%	4 2%	8 3%	3 3%	3 3%	4 3%	4 3%	1 1%	6 3%			
31	38 5%	18 4%	12 5%	21 4%	14 6%	10 4%	24 5%	16 6%	11 4%	9 5%	19 5%	6 4%	13 6%	11 4%	5 5%	3 3%	7 4%	8 6%	3 4%	8 4%			
32	19 2%	12 3%	4 2%	11 2%	7 3%	9 4%	9 2%	4 1%	11 4%	4 2%	6 2%	1 1%	5 2%	7 3%	5 5%	1 1%	4 3%	2 2%	1 1%	8 4%			

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 088
18. CD

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME RENT	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
			HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE	
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
01	30 4%	28 4%	2 2%	1 1%	3 4%	1 13%	24 4%	-	1 2%	5 3%	8 3%	11 7%	4 2%	7 2%	23 6%
02	33 4%	28 4%	5 5%	4 3%	7 9%	1 13%	21 4%	-	2 5%	5 3%	7 3%	4 3%	13 7%	13 3%	20 5%
03	25 3%	19 3%	4 4%	3 3%	1 1%	-	20 4%	1 7%	2 5%	6 4%	4 2%	7 4%	5 3%	10 3%	15 4%
04	34 4%	27 4%	7 7%	2 2%	1 1%	1 13%	28 5%	1 7%	3 7%	8 5%	8 3%	3 2%	10 5%	13 3%	21 5%
05	30 4%	29 4%	-	3 3%	-	-	26 5%	-	1 2%	4 3%	10 4%	1 1%	13 7%	13 3%	17 4%
06	32 4%	26 4%	2 2%	4 3%	4 5%	-	24 4%	-	3 7%	1 1%	12 5%	5 3%	8 4%	15 4%	17 4%
07	21 3%	15 2%	5 5%	-	3 4%	1 13%	16 3%	-	1 2%	7 5%	9 4%	1 1%	2 1%	13 3%	8 2%
08	28 4%	26 4%	-	2 2%	-	-	25 4%	1 7%	1 2%	3 2%	5 2%	10 6%	7 4%	16 4%	12 3%
09	14 2%	12 2%	2 2%	-	4 5%	-	8 1%	2 13%	-	3 2%	6 3%	3 2%	2 1%	6 2%	8 2%
10	29 4%	26 4%	2 2%	3 3%	3 4%	2 25%	21 4%	-	1 2%	7 5%	8 3%	4 3%	9 5%	17 4%	12 3%
11	31 4%	24 4%	3 3%	3 3%	-	2 25%	19 3%	1 7%	-	7 5%	6 3%	2 1%	11 6%	20 5%	11 3%
12	33 4%	25 4%	6 6%	8 7%	2 3%	-	23 4%	-	3 7%	7 5%	8 3%	7 4%	6 3%	20 5%	13 3%
13	32 4%	29 4%	-	2 2%	5 6%	-	25 4%	-	1 2%	4 3%	7 3%	7 4%	12 6%	12 3%	20 5%
14	32 4%	28 4%	2 2%	5 4%	1 1%	-	24 4%	1 7%	2 5%	6 4%	11 5%	9 6%	4 2%	13 3%	19 5%
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 088 (continued)
18. CD

	TOTAL	<OWN/RENT	HOME>	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
	COMPLTE	OWN	RENT	HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE
16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	35 4%	26 4%	6 6%	2 2%	3 4%	-	28 5%	1 7%	2 5%	3 2%	7 3%	9 6%	12 6%	17 4%	18 4%
18	24 3%	18 3%	4 4%	6 5%	2 3%	-	13 2%	1 7%	4 9%	3 2%	6 3%	5 3%	4 2%	12 3%	12 3%
19	31 4%	27 4%	3 3%	4 3%	1 1%	-	25 4%	-	-	7 5%	6 3%	7 4%	10 5%	19 5%	12 3%
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	52 7%	42 6%	6 6%	6 5%	2 3%	-	40 7%	2 13%	3 7%	5 3%	22 10%	12 8%	7 4%	25 6%	27 7%
22	25 3%	22 3%	3 3%	6 5%	1 1%	-	17 3%	1 7%	2 5%	5 3%	7 3%	5 3%	6 3%	11 3%	14 3%
23	28 4%	22 3%	3 3%	9 8%	1 1%	-	14 3%	-	1 2%	6 4%	7 3%	2 1%	11 6%	9 2%	19 5%
24	21 3%	19 3%	-	3 3%	2 3%	-	12 2%	3 20%	-	5 3%	7 3%	5 3%	3 2%	15 4%	6 1%
25	23 3%	15 2%	4 4%	7 6%	4 5%	-	11 2%	-	3 7%	6 4%	6 3%	2 1%	6 3%	10 3%	13 3%
26	28 4%	22 3%	5 5%	3 3%	6 8%	-	18 3%	-	2 5%	7 5%	9 4%	4 3%	5 3%	13 3%	15 4%
27	22 3%	18 3%	4 4%	9 8%	-	-	13 2%	-	2 5%	1 1%	8 3%	8 5%	3 2%	10 3%	12 3%
28	22 3%	19 3%	3 3%	6 5%	2 3%	-	14 3%	-	3 7%	3 2%	7 3%	4 3%	5 3%	11 3%	11 3%
29	7 1%	5 1%	2 2%	-	4 5%	-	2 *	-	-	-	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	5 1%	2 *
30	21 3%	16 2%	4 4%	4 3%	9 12%	-	7 1%	-	-	7 5%	6 3%	4 3%	3 2%	10 3%	11 3%
31	38 5%	32 5%	5 5%	8 7%	5 6%	-	25 4%	-	-	11 7%	15 7%	9 6%	3 2%	21 5%	17 4%
32	19 2%	15 2%	4 4%	4 3%	1 1%	-	14 3%	-	1 2%	5 3%	4 2%	5 3%	4 2%	10 3%	9 2%

Note: Percentage less than 0.5 printed as *.

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 089
18. CD

	TOTAL	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>									<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
01	30 4%	-	-	-	-	-	30 32%	-	-	-	30 19%	-	-	-	-	-	-
02	33 4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33 35%	-	33 21%	-	-	-	-	-
03	25 3%	25 17%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25 17%	-	-	-	-
04	34 4%	-	-	-	-	-	34 36%	-	-	-	34 22%	-	-	-	-	-	-
05	30 4%	-	-	-	-	-	30 32%	-	-	-	30 19%	-	-	-	-	-	-
06	32 4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32 34%	32 20%	-	-	-	-	-	-
07	21 3%	-	21 14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21 13%	-	-	-	-	-
08	28 4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28 30%	-	28 18%	-	-	-	-	-
09	14 2%	-	14 9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14 9%	-	-	-	-	-
10	29 4%	-	29 19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29 18%	-	-	-	-	-
11	31 4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	31 53%	-	-	-	-	-	31 17%	-	-	-
12	33 4%	33 22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33 22%	-	-	-	-
13	32 4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32 51%	-	-	-	-	-	-	32 51%	-
14	32 4%	-	32 21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32 20%	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 089 (continued)
18. CD

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>										<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>					
		DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17	35 4%	-	-	35 48%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35 19%	-	-	-	
18	24 3%	-	24 16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24 13%	-	-	-	
19	31 4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31 49%	-	-	-	-	-	31 49%	-	
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
21	52 7%	-	-	-	52 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52 29%	-	-	-	
22	25 3%	-	25 16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25 16%	-	-	-	-	-	
23	28 4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	28 47%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28 100%	
24	21 3%	21 14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21 14%	-	-	-	-	
25	23 3%	-	-	-	-	23 34%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23 34%	-	-	
26	28 4%	28 19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28 19%	-	-	-	-	
27	22 3%	-	-	-	-	22 33%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22 33%	-	-	
28	22 3%	-	-	-	-	22 33%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22 33%	-	-	
29	7 1%	-	7 5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7 4%	-	-	-	-	-	
30	21 3%	21 14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21 14%	-	-	-	-	
31	38 5%	-	-	38 52%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38 21%	-	-	-	
32	19 2%	19 13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19 13%	-	-	-	-	

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 090
18. CD

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENENT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENENT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
01	30 4%	8 4%	2 5%	-	5 7%	7 5%	1 2%	5 6%	1 1%	1 4%	4 3%	6 4%	2 2%	11 7%	1 1%	6 7%
02	33 4%	11 5%	1 2%	-	-	7 5%	4 7%	3 4%	6 8%	-	5 4%	7 5%	2 2%	9 6%	5 5%	4 5%
03	25 3%	5 2%	1 2%	-	1 1%	3 2%	1 2%	4 5%	2 3%	-	3 2%	3 2%	2 2%	3 2%	-	7 8%
04	34 4%	7 3%	4 10%	-	4 6%	3 2%	3 5%	4 5%	4 5%	1 4%	4 3%	7 5%	5 4%	6 4%	4 4%	5 6%
05	30 4%	7 3%	-	-	6 9%	4 3%	-	5 6%	4 5%	-	3 2%	4 3%	7 6%	3 2%	2 2%	7 8%
06	32 4%	11 5%	3 7%	-	4 6%	5 4%	-	2 3%	1 1%	-	9 7%	6 4%	3 3%	6 4%	2 2%	1 1%
07	21 3%	5 2%	-	-	2 3%	6 4%	4 7%	2 3%	1 1%	-	4 3%	2 1%	6 5%	6 4%	3 3%	-
08	28 4%	10 5%	1 2%	1 20%	4 6%	4 3%	-	3 4%	1 1%	1 4%	8 6%	4 3%	5 4%	3 2%	2 2%	3 3%
09	14 2%	3 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%	2 1%	1 2%	-	3 4%	2 8%	2 1%	2 1%	2 2%	2 1%	2 2%	4 5%
10	29 4%	10 5%	2 5%	-	1 1%	6 4%	2 3%	2 3%	1 1%	2 8%	5 4%	7 5%	6 5%	3 2%	5 5%	1 1%
11	31 4%	9 4%	-	2 40%	5 7%	1 1%	2 3%	3 4%	3 4%	-	11 8%	2 1%	3 3%	5 3%	4 4%	2 2%
12	33 4%	11 5%	2 5%	-	6 9%	1 1%	2 3%	3 4%	-	-	9 7%	6 4%	6 5%	3 2%	2 2%	1 1%
13	32 4%	11 5%	-	-	2 3%	2 1%	3 5%	5 6%	4 5%	1 4%	2 1%	9 7%	4 3%	4 3%	6 6%	4 5%
14	32 4%	6 3%	1 2%	-	2 3%	4 3%	2 3%	4 5%	4 5%	2 8%	3 2%	5 4%	1 1%	8 5%	5 5%	5 6%
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 090 (continued)
18. CD

	TOTAL	<=====REPUBLICAN=====			<=====DEMOCRAT=====			<=====INDPENENENT=====			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENENT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	35 4%	6 3%	4 10%	-	4 6%	9 7%	2 3%	4 5%	3 4%	1 4%	5 4%	5 4%	6 5%	9 6%	4 4%	4 5%
18	24 3%	5 2%	2 5%	-	-	3 2%	4 7%	2 3%	3 4%	1 4%	2 1%	5 4%	3 3%	4 3%	3 3%	3 3%
19	31 4%	12 6%	3 7%	-	4 6%	3 2%	1 2%	2 3%	3 4%	-	8 6%	7 5%	5 4%	3 2%	5 5%	-
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	52 7%	9 4%	4 10%	-	3 4%	13 9%	5 8%	3 4%	8 11%	2 8%	10 7%	4 3%	6 5%	16 10%	8 8%	5 6%
22	25 3%	8 4%	-	-	4 6%	2 1%	-	5 6%	3 4%	-	6 4%	3 2%	2 2%	5 3%	3 3%	5 6%
23	28 4%	9 4%	1 2%	-	-	6 4%	1 2%	2 3%	2 3%	3 12%	2 1%	8 6%	3 3%	4 3%	3 3%	5 6%
24	21 3%	8 4%	-	-	-	7 5%	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%	-	7 5%	1 1%	5 4%	4 3%	2 2%	-
25	23 3%	2 1%	-	1 20%	4 6%	4 3%	3 5%	2 3%	2 3%	2 8%	1 1%	2 1%	4 3%	7 5%	4 4%	2 2%
26	28 4%	6 3%	-	1 20%	1 1%	8 6%	3 5%	4 5%	2 3%	1 4%	1 1%	6 4%	5 4%	7 5%	6 6%	1 1%
27	22 3%	7 3%	2 5%	-	-	5 4%	1 2%	-	4 5%	1 4%	2 1%	7 5%	5 4%	2 1%	3 3%	3 3%
28	22 3%	4 2%	1 2%	-	2 3%	4 3%	4 7%	-	5 7%	2 8%	1 1%	4 3%	5 4%	5 3%	5 5%	2 2%
29	7 1%	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	3 2%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	3 3%	1 1%	-	-
30	21 3%	5 2%	3 7%	-	1 1%	3 2%	3 5%	2 3%	2 3%	-	3 2%	5 4%	4 3%	4 3%	3 3%	1 1%
31	38 5%	13 6%	3 7%	-	2 3%	6 4%	2 3%	4 5%	2 3%	3 12%	11 8%	5 4%	5 4%	6 4%	5 5%	4 5%
32	19 2%	3 1%	-	-	-	6 4%	5 8%	3 4%	1 1%	-	2 1%	2 1%	5 4%	6 4%	3 3%	1 1%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 091
MEDIA MARKET

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID====> <===BUSINESS===>				<=CUT PROP TAX>		<SEEN/READ/HRD> <=PLAN CUT PRP>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT> <===WILL PERSONALLY===>			<FRANCHISE TAX> FIRST ONLY		<CRITCS PRP TX> CUT ALREADY		<ANOTHER OPTION> NEW PUNITVE	
		ITSELF	OWNER	EMPYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	FAVOR	OPPOSE	PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	STEP	FAIR	SPNDING	CUT	TAX	DAMAGE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAKKAS/FT. WORTH	147 18%	10 9%	17 15%	22 26%	82 20%	68 19%	76 18%	60 19%	56 16%	9 14%	65 18%	53 18%	52 21%	62 15%	91 19%	33 14%	26 22%	93 17%
HOUSTON	152 19%	24 23%	17 15%	21 25%	74 18%	66 18%	83 19%	51 16%	70 20%	12 19%	78 22%	48 16%	56 23%	66 16%	95 20%	41 18%	24 20%	100 18%
AUSTIN/WACO-TEMPLE	73 9%	10 9%	4 4%	9 11%	41 10%	37 10%	36 8%	30 10%	33 10%	7 11%	31 9%	28 9%	25 10%	38 9%	33 7%	25 11%	12 10%	49 9%
SAN ANTONIO	52 7%	4 4%	9 8%	5 6%	25 6%	29 8%	23 5%	25 8%	20 6%	6 9%	26 7%	19 6%	10 4%	31 8%	26 5%	24 10%	12 10%	37 7%
LRDO/CRP CH/MCALN- BRW	67 8%	11 10%	8 7%	6 7%	36 9%	30 8%	35 8%	28 9%	26 8%	7 11%	34 10%	16 5%	18 7%	39 10%	39 8%	20 9%	12 10%	42 8%
SHRVPT/TXKRNA/TYLER	94 12%	12 11%	15 14%	9 11%	50 12%	36 10%	58 14%	34 11%	45 13%	2 3%	39 11%	46 15%	20 8%	53 13%	59 12%	31 14%	10 8%	68 13%
EL PASO/ODS-MD/SN AGL	59 7%	6 6%	12 11%	3 4%	32 8%	25 7%	34 8%	21 7%	27 8%	5 8%	21 6%	27 9%	20 8%	29 7%	39 8%	10 4%	5 4%	45 8%
LBCK/AMRLLLO	63 8%	12 11%	12 11%	4 5%	30 7%	33 9%	30 7%	32 10%	27 8%	10 16%	24 7%	23 8%	17 7%	33 8%	39 8%	21 9%	10 8%	40 7%
BEAUMONT-PT. ARTHUR	93 12%	17 16%	17 15%	6 7%	46 11%	41 11%	52 12%	29 9%	38 11%	6 9%	38 11%	42 14%	26 11%	50 12%	58 12%	24 10%	8 7%	68 13%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 092
MEDIA MARKET

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<===SCHOOL FIN===>		<===LEGISLATION===>			<=====PARTY=====>					<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>				
		<===PROP TAXES===>	<===SPENDING===>	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCR	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+	
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%					
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAKKAS/FT. WORTH	147 18%	73 18%	46 18%	91 19%	31 13%	42 18%	85 19%	48 18%	54 20%	27 15%	73 19%	27 17%	46 20%	43 16%	18 19%	22 23%	21 13%	19 15%	14 17%	45 23%					
HOUSTON	152 19%	75 19%	52 20%	102 22%	36 16%	52 22%	86 19%	48 18%	52 19%	39 21%	68 17%	32 20%	36 16%	53 20%	22 23%	15 16%	31 20%	22 17%	10 12%	45 23%					
AUSTIN/WACO-TEMPLE	73 9%	35 9%	23 9%	37 8%	26 11%	19 8%	47 10%	26 10%	26 9%	17 9%	33 8%	13 8%	20 9%	27 10%	8 8%	9 10%	12 8%	15 12%	9 11%	16 8%					
SAN ANTONIO	52 7%	30 7%	14 5%	30 6%	18 8%	12 5%	32 7%	14 5%	22 8%	13 7%	16 4%	3 2%	13 6%	25 9%	7 7%	2 2%	3 2%	9 7%	6 7%	18 9%					
LRDO/CRP CH/MCALN-BRW	67 8%	40 10%	18 7%	39 8%	21 9%	28 12%	27 6%	17 6%	28 10%	19 10%	22 6%	9 6%	13 6%	29 11%	14 15%	9 10%	14 9%	13 10%	2 2%	15 8%					
SHRVPT/TXKNA/TYLER	94 12%	44 11%	33 13%	51 11%	30 13%	28 12%	51 11%	28 10%	34 12%	25 13%	54 14%	27 17%	27 12%	30 11%	7 7%	18 19%	26 16%	18 14%	10 12%	12 6%					
EL PASO/ODS-MD/SN AGL	59 7%	28 7%	23 9%	36 8%	16 7%	14 6%	34 7%	23 9%	15 5%	14 8%	33 8%	16 10%	17 8%	13 5%	8 8%	-	21 13%	8 6%	7 9%	8 4%					
LBCK/AMRLLLO	63 8%	33 8%	21 8%	29 6%	28 12%	18 8%	38 8%	26 10%	16 6%	15 8%	39 10%	18 11%	21 9%	15 6%	6 6%	10 11%	14 9%	10 8%	10 12%	11 6%					
BEAUMONT-PT. ARTHUR	93 12%	47 12%	31 12%	52 11%	25 11%	26 11%	56 12%	39 14%	28 10%	17 9%	51 13%	18 11%	33 15%	30 11%	6 6%	9 10%	16 10%	12 10%	13 16%	27 14%					

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 093
MEDIA MARKET

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
			HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE	
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAKKAS/FT. WORTH	147 18%	116 18%	23 24%	25 21%	21 27%	-	94 17%	4 27%	8 18%	37 25%	38 17%	32 21%	26 14%	78 20%	69 17%
HOUSTON	152 19%	126 19%	20 21%	20 17%	18 23%	3 38%	101 18%	5 33%	10 23%	31 21%	50 22%	28 18%	29 15%	77 20%	75 18%
AUSTIN/WACO-TEMPLE	73 9%	58 9%	11 11%	10 9%	8 10%	-	53 10%	1 7%	2 5%	14 10%	22 10%	18 12%	15 8%	38 10%	35 8%
SAN ANTONIO	52 7%	42 6%	6 6%	6 5%	2 3%	-	40 7%	2 13%	3 7%	5 3%	22 10%	12 8%	7 4%	25 6%	27 7%
LRDO/CRP CH/MCALN- BRW	67 8%	52 8%	11 11%	22 19%	6 8%	-	38 7%	-	8 18%	10 7%	21 9%	14 9%	14 7%	31 8%	36 9%
SHRVPT/TXKNA/TYLER	94 12%	84 13%	9 9%	6 5%	4 5%	2 25%	78 14%	1 7%	5 11%	17 12%	26 11%	15 10%	27 14%	33 9%	61 15%
EL PASO/ODS-MD/SN AGL	59 7%	46 7%	6 6%	12 10%	1 1%	2 25%	33 6%	1 7%	1 2%	13 9%	13 6%	4 3%	22 12%	29 8%	30 7%
LBCK/AMRLLLO	63 8%	56 8%	3 3%	6 5%	6 8%	-	50 9%	-	1 2%	11 7%	13 6%	14 9%	22 12%	31 8%	32 8%
BEAUMONT-PT. ARTHUR	93 12%	80 12%	7 7%	10 9%	11 14%	1 13%	70 13%	1 7%	6 14%	9 6%	24 10%	19 12%	28 15%	44 11%	49 12%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 094
MEDIA MARKET

	TOTAL	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>									<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAKKAS/FT. WORTH	147 18%	147 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	147 100%	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	152 19%	-	152 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32 20%	96 61%	-	24 13%	-	-	-
AUSTIN/WACO-TEMPLE	73 9%	-	-	73 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	73 41%	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO	52 7%	-	-	-	52 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52 29%	-	-	-
LRDO/CRP CH/MCALN-BRW	67 8%	-	-	-	-	67 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67 100%	-	-
SHRVPT/TXKNA/TYLER	94 12%	-	-	-	-	-	94 100%	-	-	-	94 59%	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO/ODS-MD/SN AGL	59 7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	59 100%	-	-	-	-	-	31 17%	-	-	28 100%
LBCK/AMRLLLO	63 8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	63 100%	-
BEAUMONT-PT. ARTHUR	93 12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	93 100%	32 20%	61 39%	-	-	-	-	-

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 095
MEDIA MARKET

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENEDENT====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENEDENT=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAKKAS/FT. WORTH	147 18%	38 18%	6 14%	1 20%	9 13%	28 20%	16 26%	17 22%	8 11%	1 4%	25 19%	23 17%	27 23%	27 17%	16 16%	11 13%
HOUSTON	152 19%	37 18%	7 17%	-	11 16%	26 19%	13 21%	15 19%	15 20%	7 27%	23 17%	25 19%	23 19%	29 19%	21 21%	18 21%
AUSTIN/WACO-TEMPLE	73 9%	19 9%	7 17%	-	6 9%	15 11%	4 7%	8 10%	5 7%	4 15%	16 12%	10 7%	11 9%	15 10%	9 9%	8 9%
SAN ANTONIO	52 7%	9 4%	4 10%	-	3 4%	13 9%	5 8%	3 4%	8 11%	2 8%	10 7%	4 3%	6 5%	16 10%	8 8%	5 6%
LRDO/CRP CH/MCALN-BRW	67 8%	13 6%	3 7%	1 20%	6 9%	13 9%	8 13%	2 3%	11 14%	5 19%	4 3%	13 10%	14 12%	14 9%	12 12%	7 8%
SHRVPT/TXKNA/TYLER	94 12%	22 10%	6 14%	-	15 22%	14 10%	4 7%	14 18%	9 12%	2 8%	11 8%	17 13%	14 12%	20 13%	7 7%	18 21%
EL PASO/ODS-MD/SN AGL	59 7%	18 9%	1 2%	2 40%	5 7%	7 5%	3 5%	5 6%	5 7%	3 12%	13 10%	10 7%	6 5%	9 6%	7 7%	7 8%
LBCK/AMRLLLO	63 8%	23 11%	3 7%	-	6 9%	5 4%	4 7%	7 9%	7 9%	1 4%	10 7%	16 12%	9 8%	7 5%	11 11%	4 5%
BEAUMONT-PT. ARTHUR	93 12%	32 15%	5 12%	1 20%	8 12%	16 12%	4 7%	8 10%	8 11%	1 4%	22 16%	17 13%	10 8%	18 12%	9 9%	8 9%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 096
GEOGRAPHIC BREAK

	<==TAXES NOT ACTUALLY PAID==>				<=CUT PROP TAX>		<SEEN/READ/HRD>		<PLAN GOES INTO EFFECT>			<FRANCHISE TAX>		<CRITCS PRP TX>		<ANOTHER OPTION>		
	TOTAL COMPLTE	<==BUSINESS==> ITSELF	OWNER	EMPLYES	CONSMER	INFRMED	UNINFRM	<=PLAN CUT PRP> FAVOR	OPPOSE	<==WILL PERSONALLY==> PERMA	TEMPORY	PAY MRE	FIRST STEP	ONLY FAIR	CUT SPNDING	ALREADY CUT	NEW TAX	PUNITVE DAMAGE
Total	800 100%	106 100%	111 100%	85 100%	416 100%	365 100%	427 100%	310 100%	342 100%	64 100%	356 100%	302 100%	244 100%	401 100%	479 100%	229 100%	119 100%	542 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST TEXAS	158 20%	16 15%	26 23%	15 18%	84 20%	59 16%	98 23%	46 15%	81 24%	5 8%	68 19%	74 25%	47 19%	77 19%	99 21%	44 19%	17 14%	108 20%
HOUSTON/GALVESTON	157 20%	32 30%	23 21%	17 20%	74 18%	74 20%	82 19%	60 19%	58 17%	12 19%	74 21%	55 18%	48 20%	81 20%	94 20%	48 21%	19 16%	114 21%
DALLAS	147 18%	10 9%	17 15%	22 26%	82 20%	68 19%	76 18%	60 19%	56 16%	9 14%	65 18%	53 18%	52 21%	62 15%	91 19%	33 14%	26 22%	93 17%
CENTRAL TEXAS	180 23%	24 23%	21 19%	20 24%	90 22%	90 25%	89 21%	72 23%	84 25%	20 31%	78 22%	70 23%	55 23%	94 23%	100 21%	55 24%	33 28%	124 23%
SOUTH TEXAS	67 8%	11 10%	8 7%	6 7%	36 9%	30 8%	35 8%	28 9%	26 8%	7 11%	34 10%	16 5%	18 7%	39 10%	39 8%	20 9%	12 10%	42 8%
NORTH TEXAS	63 8%	12 11%	12 11%	4 5%	30 7%	33 9%	30 7%	32 10%	27 8%	10 16%	24 7%	23 8%	17 7%	33 8%	39 8%	21 9%	10 8%	40 7%
WEST TEXAS	28 4%	1 1%	4 4%	1 1%	20 5%	11 3%	17 4%	12 4%	10 3%	1 2%	13 4%	11 4%	7 3%	15 4%	17 4%	8 3%	2 2%	21 4%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 097
GEOGRAPHIC BREAK

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<===ROLLBACK===>		<===INCREASE===>		<===SCHOOL FIN===>		<===LEGISLATION===>			<=====IDEOLOGY=====>					<=====INCOME=====>									
		<===PROP TAXES===> YES	NO	<===SPENDING===> SUPPORT	OPPOSE	FOR	AGAINST	REPUBLN	DEMOCRT	INDPEND	NET	CON	V	CONSR	SME	CON	MODERTE	NET	LIB	<\$20K	\$20-40K	\$40-60K	\$60-75K	\$75K+	
Total	800 100%	405 100%	261 100%	467 100%	231 100%	239 100%	456 100%	269 100%	275 100%	186 100%	389 100%	163 100%	226 100%	265 100%	96 100%	94 100%	158 100%	126 100%	81 100%	197 100%					
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST TEXAS	158 20%	78 19%	51 20%	93 20%	45 19%	44 18%	93 20%	51 19%	52 19%	38 20%	87 22%	41 25%	46 20%	51 19%	11 11%	23 24%	40 25%	26 21%	20 25%	28 14%					
HOUSTON/GALVESTON	157 20%	74 18%	56 21%	94 20%	41 18%	51 21%	89 20%	57 21%	55 20%	37 20%	79 20%	32 20%	47 21%	51 19%	18 19%	17 18%	27 17%	22 17%	12 15%	49 25%					
DALLAS	147 18%	73 18%	46 18%	91 19%	31 13%	42 18%	85 19%	48 18%	54 20%	27 15%	73 19%	27 17%	46 20%	43 16%	18 19%	22 23%	21 13%	19 15%	14 17%	45 23%					
CENTRAL TEXAS	180 23%	91 22%	59 23%	101 22%	60 26%	48 20%	108 24%	60 22%	63 23%	42 23%	76 20%	30 18%	46 20%	67 25%	25 26%	13 14%	31 20%	32 25%	19 23%	45 23%					
SOUTH TEXAS	67 8%	40 10%	18 7%	39 8%	21 9%	28 12%	27 6%	17 6%	28 10%	19 10%	22 6%	9 6%	13 6%	29 11%	14 15%	9 10%	14 9%	13 10%	2 2%	15 8%					
NORTH TEXAS	63 8%	33 8%	21 8%	29 6%	28 12%	18 8%	38 8%	26 10%	16 6%	15 8%	39 10%	18 11%	21 9%	15 6%	6 6%	10 11%	14 9%	10 8%	10 12%	11 6%					
WEST TEXAS	28 4%	16 4%	10 4%	20 4%	5 2%	8 3%	16 4%	10 4%	7 3%	8 4%	13 3%	6 4%	7 3%	9 3%	4 4%	-	11 7%	4 3%	4 5%	4 2%					

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 098
GEOGRAPHIC BREAK

	TOTAL COMPLTE	<OWN/RENT OWN	HOME> RENT	<=====RACE=====>					<=====AGE=====>					<=====GENDER=====>	
			HISPANC	BLACK	ASIATIC	WHITE	OTHER	18-25	26-40	41-55	55-65	65+	MALE	FEMALE	
Total	800 100%	660 100%	96 100%	117 100%	77 100%	8 100%	557 100%	15 100%	44 100%	147 100%	229 100%	156 100%	190 100%	386 100%	414 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST TEXAS	158 20%	138 21%	13 14%	15 13%	9 12%	2 25%	126 23%	2 13%	10 23%	24 16%	49 21%	29 19%	39 21%	61 16%	97 23%
HOUSTON/GALVESTON	157 20%	134 20%	19 20%	15 13%	22 29%	4 50%	110 20%	4 27%	7 16%	30 20%	45 20%	28 18%	41 22%	81 21%	76 18%
DALLAS	147 18%	116 18%	23 24%	25 21%	21 27%	-	94 17%	4 27%	8 18%	37 25%	38 17%	32 21%	26 14%	78 20%	69 17%
CENTRAL TEXAS	180 23%	142 22%	24 25%	25 21%	12 16%	2 25%	125 22%	5 33%	9 20%	29 20%	56 24%	37 24%	37 19%	95 25%	85 21%
SOUTH TEXAS	67 8%	52 8%	11 11%	22 19%	6 8%	-	38 7%	-	8 18%	10 7%	21 9%	14 9%	14 7%	31 8%	36 9%
NORTH TEXAS	63 8%	56 8%	3 3%	6 5%	6 8%	-	50 9%	-	1 2%	11 7%	13 6%	14 9%	22 12%	31 8%	32 8%
WEST TEXAS	28 4%	22 3%	3 3%	9 8%	1 1%	-	14 3%	-	1 2%	6 4%	7 3%	2 1%	11 6%	9 2%	19 5%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 099
GEOGRAPHIC BREAK

	TOTAL	<=====MEDIA MARKET=====>									<=====GEOGRAPHIC BREAK=====>						
	COMPLTE	DALLAS	HOUSTON	AUSTIN	SAN ANT	LRD/CRP	SHRVPT	EL PASO	LBK/ARM	BEAUMNT	E TEXAS	HOUSTON	DALLAS	CENT TX	STH TEX	NOR TEX	WEST TX
Total	800 100%	147 100%	152 100%	73 100%	52 100%	67 100%	94 100%	59 100%	63 100%	93 100%	158 100%	157 100%	147 100%	180 100%	67 100%	63 100%	28 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST TEXAS	158 20%	-	32 21%	-	-	-	94 100%	-	-	32 34%	158 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON/GALVESTON	157 20%	-	96 63%	-	-	-	-	-	-	61 66%	-	157 100%	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS	147 18%	147 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	147 100%	-	-	-	-
CENTRAL TEXAS	180 23%	-	24 16%	73 100%	52 100%	-	-	31 53%	-	-	-	-	-	180 100%	-	-	-
SOUTH TEXAS	67 8%	-	-	-	-	67 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67 100%	-	-
NORTH TEXAS	63 8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	63 100%	-
WEST TEXAS	28 4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	28 47%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28 100%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005

TABLE 100
GEOGRAPHIC BREAK

	TOTAL	<====REPUBLICAN====>			<====DEMOCRAT====>			<====INDPENENED====>			<==REPUBLICAN==>		<==DEMOCRAT==>		<INDPENENED=>	
	COMPLTE	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	CONSERV	MODERTE	LIBERAL	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
Total	800 100%	211 100%	42 100%	5 100%	69 100%	137 100%	61 100%	79 100%	76 100%	26 100%	134 100%	135 100%	120 100%	155 100%	100 100%	86 100%
N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST TEXAS	158 20%	39 18%	10 24%	-	21 30%	23 17%	6 10%	20 25%	14 18%	4 15%	23 17%	28 21%	18 15%	34 22%	14 14%	24 28%
HOUSTON/GALVESTON	157 20%	47 22%	6 14%	1 20%	13 19%	30 22%	11 18%	15 19%	15 20%	5 19%	31 23%	26 19%	26 22%	29 19%	20 20%	17 20%
DALLAS	147 18%	38 18%	6 14%	1 20%	9 13%	28 20%	16 26%	17 22%	8 11%	1 4%	25 19%	23 17%	27 23%	27 17%	16 16%	11 13%
CENTRAL TEXAS	180 23%	42 20%	13 31%	2 40%	14 20%	32 23%	15 25%	16 20%	19 25%	7 27%	39 29%	21 16%	23 19%	40 26%	24 24%	18 21%
SOUTH TEXAS	67 8%	13 6%	3 7%	1 20%	6 9%	13 9%	8 13%	2 3%	11 14%	5 19%	4 3%	13 10%	14 12%	14 9%	12 12%	7 8%
NORTH TEXAS	63 8%	23 11%	3 7%	-	6 9%	5 4%	4 7%	7 9%	7 9%	1 4%	10 7%	16 12%	9 8%	7 5%	11 11%	4 5%
WEST TEXAS	28 4%	9 4%	1 2%	-	-	6 4%	1 2%	2 3%	2 3%	3 12%	2 1%	8 6%	3 3%	4 3%	3 3%	5 6%

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005
T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S
PAGE 1

TABLE 001	1. Many economists agree that business taxes are not actually paid by the business, but by employees, business owners and consumers. Who do you believe bears the greatest burden when a business is taxed? READ AND ROTATE LIST:
002	1. Many economists agree that business taxes are not actually paid by the business, but by employees, business owners and consumers. Who do you believe bears the greatest burden when a business is taxed? READ AND ROTATE LIST:
003	1. Many economists agree that business taxes are not actually paid by the business, but by employees, business owners and consumers. Who do you believe bears the greatest burden when a business is taxed? READ AND ROTATE LIST:
004	1. Many economists agree that business taxes are not actually paid by the business, but by employees, business owners and consumers. Who do you believe bears the greatest burden when a business is taxed? READ AND ROTATE LIST:
005	1. Many economists agree that business taxes are not actually paid by the business, but by employees, business owners and consumers. Who do you believe bears the greatest burden when a business is taxed? READ AND ROTATE LIST:
006	2. Recently, you may have read some media coverage regarding the Texas legislature's plan to cut some school property taxes and replacing those with state dollars. This would result in a tax shift. More state dollars would be needed to replace the local property tax money going to schools. How much would you say you know about the legislature's tax plan? Would you say you know a great deal about the plan, you know a little bit about the plan, or would you say you know almost nothing about the plan? If you've not heard anything regarding the legislature's plan, please say so.
007	2. Recently, you may have read some media coverage regarding the Texas legislature's plan to cut some school property taxes and replacing those with state dollars. This would result in a tax shift. More state dollars would be needed to replace the local property tax money going to schools. How much would you say you know about the legislature's tax plan? Would you say you know a great deal about the plan, you know a little bit about the plan, or would you say you know almost nothing about the plan? If you've not heard anything regarding the legislature's plan, please say so.
008	2. Recently, you may have read some media coverage regarding the Texas legislature's plan to cut some school property taxes and replacing those with state dollars. This would result in a tax shift. More state dollars would be needed to replace the local property tax money going to schools. How much would you say you know about the legislature's tax plan? Would you say you know a great deal about the plan, you know a little bit about the plan, or would you say you know almost nothing about the plan? If you've not heard anything regarding the legislature's plan, please say so.
009	2. Recently, you may have read some media coverage regarding the Texas legislature's plan to cut some school property taxes and replacing those with state dollars. This would result in a tax shift. More state dollars would be needed to replace the local property tax money going to schools. How much would you say you know about the legislature's tax plan? Would you say you know a great deal about the plan, you know a little bit about the plan, or would you say you know almost nothing about the plan? If you've not heard anything regarding the legislature's plan, please say so.
010	2. Recently, you may have read some media coverage regarding the Texas legislature's plan to cut some school property taxes and replacing those with state dollars. This would result in a tax shift. More state dollars would be needed to replace the local property tax money going to schools. How much would you say you know about the legislature's tax plan? Would you say you know a great deal about the plan, you know a little bit about the plan, or would you say you know almost nothing about the plan? If you've not heard anything regarding the legislature's plan, please say so.
011	3. From everything you have seen, read or heard, no matter how much or how little, would you say you favor or oppose the legislature's plan to cut property taxes by increasing other taxes? PROBE: STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT
012	3. From everything you have seen, read or heard, no matter how much or how little, would you say you favor or oppose the legislature's plan to cut property taxes by increasing other taxes? PROBE: STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT
013	3. From everything you have seen, read or heard, no matter how much or how little, would you say you favor or oppose the legislature's plan to cut property taxes by increasing other taxes? PROBE: STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT
014	3. From everything you have seen, read or heard, no matter how much or how little, would you say you favor or oppose the legislature's plan to cut property taxes by increasing other taxes? PROBE: STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005
T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S
PAGE 2

- TABLE 015 3. From everything you have seen, read or heard, no matter how much or how little, would you say you favor or oppose the legislature's plan to cut property taxes by increasing other taxes? PROBE: STRONGLY/SOMEWHAT
- 016 4. If the legislature's tax plan becomes law and the property tax cuts actually go into effect, which of the following statements most represents what you believe will be the ultimate effect on you personally and the taxes you pay:
- 017 4. If the legislature's tax plan becomes law and the property tax cuts actually go into effect, which of the following statements most represents what you believe will be the ultimate effect on you personally and the taxes you pay:
- 018 4. If the legislature's tax plan becomes law and the property tax cuts actually go into effect, which of the following statements most represents what you believe will be the ultimate effect on you personally and the taxes you pay:
- 019 4. If the legislature's tax plan becomes law and the property tax cuts actually go into effect, which of the following statements most represents what you believe will be the ultimate effect on you personally and the taxes you pay:
- 020 4. If the legislature's tax plan becomes law and the property tax cuts actually go into effect, which of the following statements most represents what you believe will be the ultimate effect on you personally and the taxes you pay:
- 021 5. The legislature's tax plan includes expanding the franchise tax to personal partnerships, like doctors and accountants, and the income the individual partners earn. Many say that this expanded franchise tax is actually a tax on income and is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas. Those who support the plan argue that these partnerships are actually businesses and it's only fair that they also pay the franchise tax. Do you agree that expanding the franchise tax to include individual partners is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas or do you agree it is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax?
- 022 5. The legislature's tax plan includes expanding the franchise tax to personal partnerships, like doctors and accountants, and the income the individual partners earn. Many say that this expanded franchise tax is actually a tax on income and is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas. Those who support the plan argue that these partnerships are actually businesses and it's only fair that they also pay the franchise tax. Do you agree that expanding the franchise tax to include individual partners is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas or do you agree it is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax?
- 023 5. The legislature's tax plan includes expanding the franchise tax to personal partnerships, like doctors and accountants, and the income the individual partners earn. Many say that this expanded franchise tax is actually a tax on income and is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas. Those who support the plan argue that these partnerships are actually businesses and it's only fair that they also pay the franchise tax. Do you agree that expanding the franchise tax to include individual partners is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas or do you agree it is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax?
- 024 5. The legislature's tax plan includes expanding the franchise tax to personal partnerships, like doctors and accountants, and the income the individual partners earn. Many say that this expanded franchise tax is actually a tax on income and is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas. Those who support the plan argue that these partnerships are actually businesses and it's only fair that they also pay the franchise tax. Do you agree that expanding the franchise tax to include individual partners is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas or do you agree it is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax?
- 025 5. The legislature's tax plan includes expanding the franchise tax to personal partnerships, like doctors and accountants, and the income the individual partners earn. Many say that this expanded franchise tax is actually a tax on income and is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas. Those who support the plan argue that these partnerships are actually businesses and it's only fair that they also pay the franchise tax. Do you agree that expanding the franchise tax to include individual partners is the first step toward a personal income tax in Texas or do you agree it is only fair that partnerships pay the franchise tax?
- 026 6. Many critics of this property tax cut plan have suggested that the Texas state government should simply cut spending by 3 percent across the board to cut property taxes rather than increasing any taxes. Those who support the tax plan have responded that spending has been cut all it can be and taxes must be increased in certain areas to provide for a property tax cut. What about you? Would you rather see state spending cut by 3 percent across the board to provide for property tax cuts or do you agree that spending has been cut all it can be?
- 027 6. Many critics of this property tax cut plan have suggested that the Texas state government should simply cut spending by 3 percent across the board to cut property taxes rather than increasing any taxes. Those who support the tax plan have responded that spending has been cut all it can be and taxes must be increased in certain areas to provide for a property tax cut. What about you? Would you rather see state spending cut by 3 percent across the board to provide for property tax cuts or do you agree that spending has been cut all it can be?

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005
T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S
PAGE 3

TABLE 028	6. Many critics of this property tax cut plan have suggested that the Texas state government should simply cut spending by 3 percent across the board to cut property taxes rather than increasing any taxes. Those who support the tax plan have responded that spending has been cut all it can be and taxes must be increased in certain areas to provide for a property tax cut. What about you? Would you rather see state spending cut by 3 percent across the board to provide for property tax cuts or do you agree that spending has been cut all it can be?
029	6. Many critics of this property tax cut plan have suggested that the Texas state government should simply cut spending by 3 percent across the board to cut property taxes rather than increasing any taxes. Those who support the tax plan have responded that spending has been cut all it can be and taxes must be increased in certain areas to provide for a property tax cut. What about you? Would you rather see state spending cut by 3 percent across the board to provide for property tax cuts or do you agree that spending has been cut all it can be?
030	6. Many critics of this property tax cut plan have suggested that the Texas state government should simply cut spending by 3 percent across the board to cut property taxes rather than increasing any taxes. Those who support the tax plan have responded that spending has been cut all it can be and taxes must be increased in certain areas to provide for a property tax cut. What about you? Would you rather see state spending cut by 3 percent across the board to provide for property tax cuts or do you agree that spending has been cut all it can be?
031	7. Another option being considered is that, rather than create new taxes on businesses, the Texas legislature could tax punitive damage awards. As you may be aware, punitive damage awards are those awards given during the course of a lawsuit to cover things like pain and suffering. On average, trial lawyers keep between one-third to one-half of this award. Which do you think is a better idea, put new taxes on Texas businesses or tax lawyers through a tax on punitive damage awards?
032	7. Another option being considered is that, rather than create new taxes on businesses, the Texas legislature could tax punitive damage awards. As you may be aware, punitive damage awards are those awards given during the course of a lawsuit to cover things like pain and suffering. On average, trial lawyers keep between one-third to one-half of this award. Which do you think is a better idea, put new taxes on Texas businesses or tax lawyers through a tax on punitive damage awards?
033	7. Another option being considered is that, rather than create new taxes on businesses, the Texas legislature could tax punitive damage awards. As you may be aware, punitive damage awards are those awards given during the course of a lawsuit to cover things like pain and suffering. On average, trial lawyers keep between one-third to one-half of this award. Which do you think is a better idea, put new taxes on Texas businesses or tax lawyers through a tax on punitive damage awards?
034	7. Another option being considered is that, rather than create new taxes on businesses, the Texas legislature could tax punitive damage awards. As you may be aware, punitive damage awards are those awards given during the course of a lawsuit to cover things like pain and suffering. On average, trial lawyers keep between one-third to one-half of this award. Which do you think is a better idea, put new taxes on Texas businesses or tax lawyers through a tax on punitive damage awards?
035	7. Another option being considered is that, rather than create new taxes on businesses, the Texas legislature could tax punitive damage awards. As you may be aware, punitive damage awards are those awards given during the course of a lawsuit to cover things like pain and suffering. On average, trial lawyers keep between one-third to one-half of this award. Which do you think is a better idea, put new taxes on Texas businesses or tax lawyers through a tax on punitive damage awards?
036	8. The Texas House recently passed legislation to make it easier for taxpayers to call for an election to rollback property taxes as appraised values rise-which causes tax bills to increase. Do you believe this legislation should be passed by the senate and signed by the governor?
037	8. The Texas House recently passed legislation to make it easier for taxpayers to call for an election to rollback property taxes as appraised values rise-which causes tax bills to increase. Do you believe this legislation should be passed by the senate and signed by the governor?
038	8. The Texas House recently passed legislation to make it easier for taxpayers to call for an election to rollback property taxes as appraised values rise-which causes tax bills to increase. Do you believe this legislation should be passed by the senate and signed by the governor?
039	8. The Texas House recently passed legislation to make it easier for taxpayers to call for an election to rollback property taxes as appraised values rise-which causes tax bills to increase. Do you believe this legislation should be passed by the senate and signed by the governor?
040	8. The Texas House recently passed legislation to make it easier for taxpayers to call for an election to rollback property taxes as appraised values rise-which causes tax bills to increase. Do you believe this legislation should be passed by the senate and signed by the governor?
041	9. The Texas legislature is likely to increase two-year government spending by twenty percent before they conclude their business at the end of the month. Would you support or oppose a constitutional amendment that strictly limits the growth in government spending to inflation plus population growth, which would currently be approximately ten percent over two years?

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005
T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S
PAGE 4

TABLE 042	9. The Texas legislature is likely to increase two-year government spending by twenty percent before they conclude their business at the end of the month. Would you support or oppose a constitutional amendment that strictly limits the growth in government spending to inflation plus population growth, which would currently be approximately ten percent over two years?
043	9. The Texas legislature is likely to increase two-year government spending by twenty percent before they conclude their business at the end of the month. Would you support or oppose a constitutional amendment that strictly limits the growth in government spending to inflation plus population growth, which would currently be approximately ten percent over two years?
044	9. The Texas legislature is likely to increase two-year government spending by twenty percent before they conclude their business at the end of the month. Would you support or oppose a constitutional amendment that strictly limits the growth in government spending to inflation plus population growth, which would currently be approximately ten percent over two years?
045	9. The Texas legislature is likely to increase two-year government spending by twenty percent before they conclude their business at the end of the month. Would you support or oppose a constitutional amendment that strictly limits the growth in government spending to inflation plus population growth, which would currently be approximately ten percent over two years?
046	10. School finance legislation that would trim property taxes, raise teacher salaries, increase state oversight of charter schools and launch a merit pay program for teachers was passed last Friday by the Texas Senate Education Committee. The measure, which would boost funding for public schools by about \$2.8 billion over two years would expand the current business tax and also institute a state-wide property tax. To institute a state-wide property tax, voters would need to approve a constitutional amendment. From what you know, if a vote were held on this constitutional amendment to institute a statewide property tax today, would you vote for or against it?
047	10. School finance legislation that would trim property taxes, raise teacher salaries, increase state oversight of charter schools and launch a merit pay program for teachers was passed last Friday by the Texas Senate Education Committee. The measure, which would boost funding for public schools by about \$2.8 billion over two years would expand the current business tax and also institute a state-wide property tax. To institute a state-wide property tax, voters would need to approve a constitutional amendment. From what you know, if a vote were held on this constitutional amendment to institute a statewide property tax today, would you vote for or against it?
048	10. School finance legislation that would trim property taxes, raise teacher salaries, increase state oversight of charter schools and launch a merit pay program for teachers was passed last Friday by the Texas Senate Education Committee. The measure, which would boost funding for public schools by about \$2.8 billion over two years would expand the current business tax and also institute a state-wide property tax. To institute a state-wide property tax, voters would need to approve a constitutional amendment. From what you know, if a vote were held on this constitutional amendment to institute a statewide property tax today, would you vote for or against it?
049	10. School finance legislation that would trim property taxes, raise teacher salaries, increase state oversight of charter schools and launch a merit pay program for teachers was passed last Friday by the Texas Senate Education Committee. The measure, which would boost funding for public schools by about \$2.8 billion over two years would expand the current business tax and also institute a state-wide property tax. To institute a state-wide property tax, voters would need to approve a constitutional amendment. From what you know, if a vote were held on this constitutional amendment to institute a statewide property tax today, would you vote for or against it?
050	10. School finance legislation that would trim property taxes, raise teacher salaries, increase state oversight of charter schools and launch a merit pay program for teachers was passed last Friday by the Texas Senate Education Committee. The measure, which would boost funding for public schools by about \$2.8 billion over two years would expand the current business tax and also institute a state-wide property tax. To institute a state-wide property tax, voters would need to approve a constitutional amendment. From what you know, if a vote were held on this constitutional amendment to institute a statewide property tax today, would you vote for or against it?
051	Now, just a few more questions for demographic purposes. 11. With which political party are you affiliated?
052	Now, just a few more questions for demographic purposes. 11. With which political party are you affiliated?
053	Now, just a few more questions for demographic purposes. 11. With which political party are you affiliated?
054	Now, just a few more questions for demographic purposes. 11. With which political party are you affiliated?

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005
T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S
PAGE 5

TABLE 055	Now, just a few more questions for demographic purposes. 11. With which political party are you affiliated?
056	12. Thinking about issues and politics, do you consider yourself to be (ROTATE) conservative, moderate or liberal in your political beliefs? PROBE: VERY/SOMEWHAT
057	12. Thinking about issues and politics, do you consider yourself to be (ROTATE) conservative, moderate or liberal in your political beliefs? PROBE: VERY/SOMEWHAT
058	12. Thinking about issues and politics, do you consider yourself to be (ROTATE) conservative, moderate or liberal in your political beliefs? PROBE: VERY/SOMEWHAT
059	12. Thinking about issues and politics, do you consider yourself to be (ROTATE) conservative, moderate or liberal in your political beliefs? PROBE: VERY/SOMEWHAT
060	12. Thinking about issues and politics, do you consider yourself to be (ROTATE) conservative, moderate or liberal in your political beliefs? PROBE: VERY/SOMEWHAT
061	13. What is your annual family income? Is it (READ LIST)
062	13. What is your annual family income? Is it (READ LIST)
063	13. What is your annual family income? Is it (READ LIST)
064	13. What is your annual family income? Is it (READ LIST)
065	13. What is your annual family income? Is it (READ LIST)
066	14. In your current place of residence, do you own your home or do you rent?
067	14. In your current place of residence, do you own your home or do you rent?
068	14. In your current place of residence, do you own your home or do you rent?
069	14. In your current place of residence, do you own your home or do you rent?
070	14. In your current place of residence, do you own your home or do you rent?
071	15. What is your race?
072	15. What is your race?
073	15. What is your race?
074	15. What is your race?
075	15. What is your race?
076	16. AGE PRE-CODE FROM FILE
077	16. AGE PRE-CODE FROM FILE
078	16. AGE PRE-CODE FROM FILE
079	16. AGE PRE-CODE FROM FILE
080	16. AGE PRE-CODE FROM FILE
081	17. Gender BY OBSERVATION/PRE-CODE

TX TAX STUDY
Wilson Research Strategies Prepared on 05 MAY 2005
T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S
PAGE 6

TABLE 082	17. Gender BY OBSERVATION/PRE-CODE
083	17. Gender BY OBSERVATION/PRE-CODE
084	17. Gender BY OBSERVATION/PRE-CODE
085	17. Gender BY OBSERVATION/PRE-CODE
086	18. CD
087	18. CD
088	18. CD
089	18. CD
090	18. CD
091	MEDIA MARKET
092	MEDIA MARKET
093	MEDIA MARKET
094	MEDIA MARKET
095	MEDIA MARKET
096	GEOGRAPHIC BREAK
097	GEOGRAPHIC BREAK
098	GEOGRAPHIC BREAK
099	GEOGRAPHIC BREAK
100	GEOGRAPHIC BREAK